NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

MONDAY, APRIL 30, 2007 1:00 P. M.

SPECIAL MEETING NOTICE & AGENDA PARKS COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE MEMBERS MICHAEL WINN, CHAIR JAMES HARRISON, MEMBER PRINCIPAL STAFF
BRUCE BUEL, GENERAL MANAGER
LISA BOGNUDA, ASSIST. ADMINISTRATOR
DONNA JOHNSON, BOARD SECRETARY
JON SEITZ, GENERAL COUNSEL

MEETING LOCATION District Board Room 148 S. Wilson Street Nipomo, California

1. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL AND FLAG SALUTE

ACTION RECOMMENDED: None

2. DISCUSS ACTIVATION AND PARK DEVELOPMENT STATUS

ACTION RECOMMENDED: Forward Recommendations to Board

3. EDIT DRAFT PARKS SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

ACTION RECOMMENDED: Forward Recommendations to the Board

4. DISCUSS OPTIONS FOR RECEIVING ADVISORY INPUT ON PARKS ISSUES

ACTION RECOMMENDED: Forward Recommendations to the Board

SET MEETING DATE(S)/TIME(S) FOR SUBSEQUENT MEETING(S)

ACTION RECOMMENDED: Set Date/Time

ADJOURN

*** End Special Meeting Notice ***

TO:

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

FROM:

BRUCE BUEL 883

DATE:

APRIL 27, 2007

AGENDA ITEM 2 APRIL 30, 2007

ACTIVATION & PARK DEVELOPMENT STATUS

ITEM

Discuss Activation and Park Development Status [Forward Recommendations to Board].

BACKGROUND

Attached is a draft MOU with SLO County prepared by District Legal Counsel and a draft Scope of Work for the Funding Analysis prepared by District Staff.

Staff has been unable to secure copies of the materials developed by the Cal Poly students regarding concepts for development of Miller Park.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Committee edit the attached MOU and then recommend that the Board forward the revised MOU to the County for consideration.

Staff further recommends that the Committee edit the attached Scope of Work and authorize staff to solicit quotes from local engineering firms.

ATTACHMENTS

- Draft MOU
- Draft Scope

T:\Documents/services/parks/parks committee staff notes/070430ITEM2,DOC

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT AND THE COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO REGARDING THE NCSD'S ACTIVATION OF PARK POWERS

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") is entered by and between the Nipomo Community Services District (hereafter "District") and the County of San Luis Obispo (hereafter "County") with reference to the following Recitals:

RECITALS

- A. The District is a Community Services District formed and operated pursuant to California Government Code §§61000 et seq. Pursuant to Government Code §61100 (e) the District is authorized to "acquire, construct, improve, maintain, and operate recreation facilities, including, but not limited to, parks and open space, in the same manner as a recreation and park district formed pursuant to the Recreation and Park District Law, Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 5780) of Division 5 of the Public Resources Code." ("Park Powers"); and
- B. The District is considering the activation of Park Powers pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act ("the Act" Government Code §56000 et set.) and the rules and regulations of the Local Agency Formation Commission ("LAFCO"); and
- C. The County is the owner of certain real property consisting of approximately one (1) acre located at the northeast corner of West Tefft and Carrillo Street, Nipomo, California, (herein the "Property"); and
- D. The County has offered, without compensation, the Property to the District for the purposes of operating a park; and
- E. Pursuant to Government Code § 56824.14, the District's exercise of Park Powers must be approved by LAFCO; and
- F. It is the purpose of this MOU to identify the responsibilities of the parties in developing the Property for use as a park and the transfer of the Property to the District, upon LAFCO activation of District's Park Powers.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

- District and County will work cooperatively to:
 - a. develop a plan identifying park features and improvements;
 - identify funding mechanisms (including but not limited to grants, donations and assessment districts) to construct park improvements and for ongoing operation and maintenance.

- 2. Upon completion of the tasks referenced in paragraph 1, above, District will apply to LAFCO to activate Park Powers. LAFCO approval to be conditioned on:
 - a. compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA);
 - b. implementation of a funding mechanism to support construction of park improvements and the longterm operation and maintenance.
- 3. Upon LAFCO approval of the District's activation of park powers, County shall transfer Property to District.
- 4. Upon transfer of the Property to District pursuant to paragraph 3, above, District agrees to use its reasonable best efforts to construct the park improvements referenced in paragraph 1(a), above, and thereon operate and maintain the Property as a park.
- Notwithstanding LAFCO's approval of the District's activation of park
 powers, the District shall have no obligation to operate other parks within the District
 boundaries except by mutual written agreement between the County and the District.
- 6. Recitals A through F are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Memorandum of Understanding to be effective on the date of the last party to approve the MOU.

MICHAEL WINN, President Nipomo Community Services District	Chair, Board of Supervisors County of San Luis Obispo	
DATED:, 2007	DATED:, 2007	
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL EFFECT		
JON S. SEITZ, District Legal Counsel Nipomo Community Services District	County Counsel	
Dated:, 2007	Dated:, 2007	
ATTEST:		

District Secretary		County Clerk Recorder	
Dated:	, 2007	Dated:	, 2007

SCOPE OF WORK – INITIAL PHASE SERVICES NCSD MILLER PARK FUNDING DISTRICT FORMATION (April 2007)

I. INITIATION

- A. Review Concepts Plans
- B. Review Olde Town Nipomo Design & Circulation Plan
- C. Review Tefft Street Development Plans (JLWA)
- D. Review Listing of NCSD Assumptions
- E. Participate in staff kick off meeting

II. CONFIRM PROPOSITION 218 PROCESS

- A. Research Process and Options for Funding Capital & Operations
- B. Submit Letter Report summarizing findings

III. INITIAL CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS COST ALLOWANCES

- A. Develop Cost Allowance Range for Construction
- B. Develop Initial Cost Allowance for Annual Operations
- C. Submit Letter Report summarizing findings

IV. DEVELOPMENT OF DATA BASE FOR FUNDING DISTRICT

- A. Plot Perimeter of Proposed Funding District (FD)
- B. Prepare listing of properties, owners, & land use within Proposed FD
- C. Create Data Base

V. COMPUTATION OF PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT/TAX RANGES

- A. Propose One or More Zones of Benefit by Distance and/or Use
- B. Propose Range of Assessment/Tax for capital
- C. Propose Range of Assessment/Tax for operations
- D. Submit Letter Report summarizing findings

VI. OUTREACH

- A. Attend NCSD Parks Committee Meeting
- B. Attend Community Workshop
- C. Attend NCSD Board Meeting

VII. NEXT PHASE PREPARATION

A. Submit Proposal for Phase II

TO:

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

FROM:

BRUCE BUEL

DATE:

APRIL 27, 2007

AGENDA ITEM 3 APRIL 30, 2007

EDIT DRAFT PARKS SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

ITEM

Edit draft parks survey questionnaire [Edit Questionnaire & Authorize Research].

BACKGROUND

Attached is a clean draft of the survey questionnaire and a version marked up with different edits from various reviewers.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Committee edit the attached draft questionnaire and then authorize staff to finalize the questionnaire so that Campbell-Rinker can initiate their survey research.

ATTACHMENTS

- Draft Questionnaire
- Questionnaire Marked Up with Comments

T:\Documents/services/parks/parks committee staff notes/070430ITEM3,DOC



[all questions are required]
Hello, my name is I am calling on behalf of the Nipomo Community Services District. Is [NAME] available?
[reintroduce if needed] Hello, my name is I am calling on behalf of the Nipomo Community Services District.
The District is making this call to ask for the opinions of Nipomo property owners. All of your responses are completely confidential and I am not a sales person.
Q10 Have you heard of the Nipomo Community Services District, the NCSD? 1. Yes [skip Q15] 2. No 3. Unsure/DK
Q15 The Nipomo Community Services District was formed in 1965 to provide the community with public services.
[reschedule if needed; if they ask how long it will take, respond about seven minutes]
[skip to O30 if Address on file is a PO Box]



Q20 Do you own the property at [street address on file]?

- 1. Yes [skip Q30]
- 2. No
- 3. Unsure [terminate]

[if they are not the owner but the owner is available, the caller may survey the correct owner]

- Q30 Do you currently own any land or a home in Nipomo?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No [terminate]
 - 3. Unsure [terminate]

Q40 Do you live? (read options)

- 1. In the Nipomo area, east of Highway 101
- 2. In the Nipomo area, west of Highway 101, or
- 3. Outside of the area
- 4. (do not read) In the Nipomo, not sure what side

[if list field Area = 'SOI', or Q10.2 or Q10.3 are selected, skip to Q200]

Q100 What services does the NCSD provide to Nipomo residents? (do <u>not</u> read options, prompt "anything else?" if all three are not mentioned)

- 1. Water
- 2. Sewer connection (sewage disposal)
- 3. Trash/Recycling Pickup
- 4. Others:

Q110 Does the NCSD provide your water service?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No [skip next two questions]
- 3. Unsure [skip next two questions]



O120 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your water service? Q130 On average, about how much is your water bill that you receive every other month? \$ [for this and others in this survey enter 'x' if they do not know, not '99'] Q140 Does the NCSD provide your sewer service? 1. Yes 2. No [skip next two questions] 3. Unsure [skip next two questions] Q150 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your sewer service? Q160 On average, about how much is your sewer bill that you receive every other month? \$ [enter 'x' if they do not know] Q170 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your trash and recycling pickup service? Q180 About how much do you pay monthly for trash and recycling pickup? \$ [enter 'x' if they do not know]



Q200 About how much do you pay each year in property taxes for your property within Nipomo and surrounding areas? \$____ [enter 'x' if they do not know]

Q210 Please indicate whether you feel more, less, or the same amount should be spent by the local government in Nipomo on each of the following items... [randomize list, offer Unsure/DK option]

- 1. Crime reduction
- 2. Improving roads
- 3. Improving mass transit (buses, elderly pick-up, etc.)
- 4. Adding new jobs
- 5. Improving public education for children
- 6. Expanding and improving adult education options
- 7. Ensuring affordable housing options exist
- 8. Protecting home values
- 9. Adding new parks
- 10. Graffiti removal
- 11. Adding new cemeteries

[skip to Q250 unless 'less' or 'the same amount' are selected for Q210's 'Adding new parks']

Q230 What is your opinion of the District adding new parks if grants from outside of the community paid for <u>one-fourth</u> of the initial cost? Would you support such an initiative, oppose such an initiative, or are unsure?

- 1. Support [skip next question]
- 2. Oppose
- 3. Unsure

Q240 And if grants from outside of the community paid for half of the initial cost?

- 1. Support
- Oppose
- 3. Unsure



Q250 Which of the following statements do you feel is most accurate? (read options) [rotate options 1 and 3]

1. Nipomo has too many parks

2. Nipomo has just the right amount of parks

3. Nipomo does not have enough parks

4. (do not read) Unsure

Q260 Regardless of whether or not you feel Nipomo needs new parks, do you feel that citizens would benefit more from...

1. One large park in a location that is convenient for many, or

2. Several smaller neighborhood parks that are spread throughout Nipomo

3. (do not read) Unsure

Q270 Regardless of your support of new parks in Nipomo, we are interested in understating what activities and features you would want in a new Nipomo park. Rate each option on a scale of one to five where five is 'Strongly support', three is 'Neutral', and one is 'Strongly oppose.' (read each) [randomize selection]

Off-leash dog area

2. Frisbee golf areas

3. Barbeque grills

4. Reservable areas for group events

5. Water features such as streams, fountains, and ponds

6. Playground for children

7. Paved walking and jogging path

8. Basketball court

9. Horseshoe pits

10. Tennis court

11. Volleyball court

12. Amphitheater for outdoor performing arts

13. Shuffleboard area

14. Lawn bowling area

15. Skateboard and skating park

16. Swimming pool

17. Softball and baseball fields

18. Soccer field

19. Football field

20. Batting cages



Q280 (do not read) Others, if they say we forgot some:

[skip to Q388 if Q40 = Option 3]

Q300 Approximately how often do members of your household visit Nipomo Community Park? (read options)

- 1. At least once a week
- 2. At least once a month
- 3. At least once a year
- 4. Less than once a year

Q380 How much would your <u>household</u> be willing to pay <u>annually</u> for a new neighborhood park? Assume that the park would be on the other side of town, but not supporting it would eliminate any chance of a park being added to your neighborhood in the near future. (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing
- 8. Or you are unsure because you do not make decisions about finances in your household [skip next question]

Q384 How much would your <u>household</u> be willing to pay <u>annually</u> if the new park were going to be less than five blocks from your home? (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing



[skip next question if Q40, option 3 is NOT selected]

Q388 How much would you be willing to pay annually for new parks to be added in Nipomo? (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing

Q390 If the NCSD is building new parks in Nipomo, to what degree does each method of paying for them appeal to you? Please keep in mind that this question is <u>not</u> asking whether you would support new parks. Rate each option on a scale of one to five where five is 'Strongly support', three is 'Neutral', and one is 'Strongly oppose.' (read options)

- 1. Special fee on newly constructed homes
- 2. An increase in property taxes
- A new fee billed every two months, much like how the NCSD currently bills for water and sewer services
- 4. Small fee paid when visiting the park using a drop box and the honor system

Q400 What is your ethnicity? (do not read; select all that apply, but do not encourage multiple responses; try to fit responses into the first five options when possible)

- 1. White/Caucasian
- 2. Hispanic/Latino
- 3. Black/African American
- 4. Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5. Native American/Alaskan native
- 6. Other
- 7. Decline

Q430 What is your age? _ [enter 'x' for refusals]



Q450 How many people living in your home are 18 years old or younger? _____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q450 How many people living in your home are between 19 and 64 years old? ____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q460 How many people living in your home are at least 65 years old? ____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q470 Please stop me when I read the range that applies to your household's annual income...

1. Less than \$25,000
2. \$25,000 to less than \$50,000
3. \$50,000 to less than \$75,000

Closing: That completes our survey. Thank you for helping us with this research. Your feedback will be instrumental in the NCSD's efforts. Have a good day!

Q.490 (Record Gender)

4. \$75,000 to less than \$100,000

5. \$100,000 or greater

1. Female

6. Decline

2. Male

Termination: This specific survey is for Nipomo property owners. Thank you for your time and willingness to participate in our survey. Have a great day.

Ed / Mike lovery Tol



[all questions are required]

Hello, my name is ______. I am calling on behalf of the Nipomo Community Services District. Is [NAME] available?

[reintroduce if needed] Hello, my name is ______. I am calling on behalf of the Nipomo Community Services District.

The District is making this call to ask for the opinions of Nipomo property owners. All of your responses are completely confidential and I am not a sales person.

As you have be aware NCSD provides like Lewer and trail alloction survives to District residents. The CSD is considering adding for his as a new report owners, the District is Makey true all to ask opinions of reports by owners, related to the District days Diffus how parks as a new Q10 Have you heard of the Nipomo Community Services District, the NCSD? Some It I have I

1. Yes [skip Q15]

2. No

3. Unsure/DK

Q15 The Nipomo Community Services District was formed in 1965 to provide the community with public services.

[reschedule if needed; if they ask how long it will take, respond about seven minutes]

[skip to Q30 if Address on file is a PO Box]



Q20 Do you own the property at [street address on file]?

- 1. Yes [skip Q30]
- 2. No
- 3. Unsure [terminate]

[if they are not the owner but the owner is available, the caller may survey the correct owner]

Q30 Do you currently own any land or a home in Nipomo?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No [terminate]
- 3. Unsure [terminate]

Q40 Do you live? (read options)

- 1. In the Nipomo area, east of Highway 101
- 2. In the Nipomo area, west of Highway 101, or
- 3. Outside of the area
- 4. (do not read) In the Nipomo, not sure what side

[if list field Area = 'SOI', or Q10.2 or Q10.3 are selected, skip to Q200]

Q100 What services does the NCSD provide to Nipomo residents? (do <u>not</u> read options, prompt "anything else?" if all three are not mentioned)

- 1. Water
- 2. Sewer connection (sewage disposal)
- 3. Trash/Recycling Pickup
- 4. Others:

Q110 Does the NCSD provide your water service?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No [skip next two questions]
- 3. Unsure [skip next two questions]



Q120 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your <u>water</u> service?

Q130 On average, about how much is your water bill that you receive every other month? \$___ [for this and others in this survey enter 'x' if they do not know, not '99']

Q140 Does the NCSD provide your sewer service?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No [skip next two questions]
- 3. Unsure [skip next two questions]

Q150 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your <u>sewer service?</u>

Q170 On a scale of one to five where five is 'Completely satisfied', three is 'Undecided' and one is 'Completely dissatisfied', how do you rate your overall experience with your <u>trash and recycling pickup</u> service?

Q180 About how much do you pay monthly for trash and recycling pickup? \$ _____ [enter 'x' if they do not know]



Q200 About how much do you pay each year in property taxes for your property within Nipomo and surrounding areas? \$ [enter 'x' if they do not know]
Q210 Please indicate whether you feel more, less, or the same amount should be spent by the local government in Nipomo on each of the following items [randomize list, offer Unsure/DK option] 1. Crime reduction 2. Improving roads
 3. Improving mass transit (buses, elderly pick-up, etc.) 4. Adding new jobs 5. Improving public education for children
 6. Expanding and improving adult education options 7. Ensuring affordable housing options exist 8. Protecting home values
9. Adding new parks 10. Graffiti removal 11. Adding new cemeteries starting a new come deriv
Who photing y new parks are created in wy one, additional Funding weeks nodokal.
Funding week be nodokal.
[skip to Q250 unless 'less' or 'the same amount' are selected for Q210's 'Adding new parks']
Q230 What is your opinion of the District adding new parks in grants from outside of the community paid for <u>one-fourth</u> of the initial cost? Would you support such an initiative, oppose such an initiative, or are unsure?
 Support [skip next question] Oppose Unsure
e Ein Mac

Q240 And if trants from outside of the community paid for half of the initial cost? Support
 Oppose
 Unsure



Q250 Which of the following statements do you feel is most accurate? (read options) [rotate options 1 and 3]

1. Nipomo has too many parks

2. Nipomo has just the right amount of parks speed

3. Nipomo does not have enough parks

4. (do not read) Unsure

Q260 Regardless of whether or not you feel Nipomo needs new parks, do you feel that citizens would benefit more from...

1. One large park in a location that is convenient for many, or

2. Several smaller neighborhood parks that are spread throughout Nipomo

3. (do not read) Unsure

Q270 Regardless of your support of new parks in Nipomo, we are interested in understand activities and features you would want in a new Nipomo park. Rate each option on a scale of one to five where five is 'Strongly support', three is 'Neutral', and one is 'Strongly oppose.' (read each) [randomize selection]

Off-leash dog area

Frisbee golf areas

3. Barbeque grills with Be No libra

4. Reservable areas for group events

5. Water features such as streams, fountains, and ponds Reared

6. Playground for children 5/1001

Paved walking and jogging path 5

8. Basketball court 5 6u-

9. Horseshoe pits

10. Tennis court

11. Volleyball court

12. Amphitheater for outdoor performing arts

13. Shuffleboard area

14. Lawn bowling area

15. Skateboard and skating park

16. Swimming pool

17. Softball and baseball fields Sports Fields

18. Soccer field

19. Football field

20. Batting cages



Q280 (do not read) Others, if they say we forgot some:

[skip to Q388 if Q40 = Option 3]

Q300 Approximately how often do members of your household visit Nipomo Community Park? (read options)

- 1. At least once a week
- 2. At least once a month
- 3. At least once a year
- 4. Less than once a year
- 5 Never

Q380 How much would your household be willing to pay annually for a new neighborhood park?

Assume that the park would be on the other side of town, but not supporting it would eliminate any chance of a park being added to your neighborhood in the near future. (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing
- 8. Or you are unsure because you do not make decisions about finances in your household [skip next question]

Q384 How much would your <u>household</u> be willing to pay <u>annually</u> if the new park were going to be less than five blocks from your home? (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing



[skip next question if Q40, option 3 is NOT selected]

Q388 How much would you be willing to pay <u>annually</u> for new parks to be added in Nipomo? (read options)

- 1. Over \$100
- 2. \$81 to \$100
- 3. \$61 to \$80
- 4. \$41 to \$60
- 5. \$21 to \$40
- 6. \$1 to \$20
- 7. Nothing

? "Small"?

Q390 If the NCSD is building new parks in Nipomo, to what degree does each method of paying for them appeal to you? Please keep in mind that this question is <u>not</u> asking whether you would support new parks. Rate each option on a scale of one to five where five is 'Strongly support', three is 'Neutral', and one is 'Strongly oppose.' (read options)

- 1. Special fee on newly constructed homes
- 2. An increase in property taxes
- A new fee billed every two months, much like how the NCSD currently bills for water and sewer services
- 4. Small fee paid when visiting the park using a drop box and the honor system

5. " " " " " " u very & prida Kudent

Q400 What is your ethnicity? (do not read; select all that apply, but do not encourage multiple responses; try to fit responses into the first five options when possible)

- 1. White/Caucasian
- 2. Hispanic/Latino
- 3. Black/African American
- 4. Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5. Native American/Alaskan native
- 6. Other
- 7. Decline

Q430 What is your age? _ [enter 'x' for refusals]



Q450 How many people living in your home are 18 years old or younger? _____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q450 How many people living in your home are between 19 and 64 years old? ____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q460 How many people living in your home are at least 65 years old? ____ [enter 'x' for refusals]

Q470 Please stop me when I read the range that applies to your household's annual income...

1. Less than \$25,000
2. \$25,000 to less than \$50,000
3. \$50,000 to less than \$75,000
4. \$75,000 to less than \$100,000

Closing: That completes our survey. Thank you for helping us with this research. Your feedback will be instrumental in the NCSD's efforts. Have a good day!

Q.490 (Record Gender)

5. \$100,000 or greater

1. Female

6. Decline

2. Male

Termination: This specific survey is for Nipomo property owners. Thank you for your time and willingness to participate in our survey. Have a great day.

TO:

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

FROM:

BRUCE BUEL BOS

DATE:

APRIL 27, 2007

AGENDA ITEM
4

APRIL 30, 2007

ADVISORY INPUT ON PARKS ISSUES

ITEM

Discuss options for receiving advisory input on parks issues [Forward Recommendations to Board].

BACKGROUND

Chairman Winn has requested that the Committee discuss options for receiving additional advisory input on parks issues. Following is an initial list of options:

- Use the Existing Committee
- Add Public Members to the Existing Committee
- Create a Citizens Advisory Committee supported by staff
- Create a Citizens Advisory Committee supported by the Parks Committee

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Committee discuss these options plus other options as appropriate and develop a recommendation for the Board.

ATTACHMENT - NONE

T:\Documents/services/parks/parks committee staff notes/070430ITEM4.DOC

TO:

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

FROM:

BRUCE BUEL B813

DATE:

APRIL 27, 2007

AGENDA ITEM 5 APRIL 30, 2007

SET SUBSEQUENT MEETING DATE(S)/TIME(S)

ITEM

Set Meeting Date(s)/Time(s) for subsequent meeting(s). [Set Dates/Times].

BACKGROUND

Staff believes that it would be useful for the Committee to meet in May to review proposals from engineers for evaluation of options for funding Miller Park. Monday May 21, 2007 at 2pm would be the standard meeting time.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Committee select a meeting date in May.

ATTACHMENT - NONE

T:\Documents/services/parks/parks committee staff notes/070430ITEM5.DOC