TO:

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS** 

FROM:

BRUCE BUEL BARY

DATE:

MARCH 7, 2008

AGENDA ITEM E-1

MAR 12, 2008

#### MERGER OF TOWN AND BLACKLAKE WATER FUNDS

#### ITEM

Review/edit draft ordinance merging Town Water Fund with Blacklake Water Fund and set schedule [RECOMMEND APPROVAL].

#### BACKGROUND

Your Honorable Board, at your February 13, 2008 Meeting, agreed to use existing Blacklake water rates to pay off the merger equity buy-in over time and directed staff to recalculate the equity buy-in, to refine the pay-back analysis, and to prepare a draft ordinance effecting the merger at this meeting.

The Board directed staff to recalculate the equity buy-in by subtracting the 2006 depreciated book value of the Olde Towne Lateral Grant from the Town Asset total. Attached is a printout of Town Capacity Charge Assets, which includes the Olde Towne Lateral Grant in Groups #5 (\$22,160) and #27 (\$57,205) as well as the pre-2007 depreciation of \$1,034 and \$2,669 respectively. The resultant deduction from the Town Asset Total is thus \$75,662. As detailed in the attached spread sheet deducting the \$75,662 from the Town Asset Total reduces the equity buy-in from \$1,063,392 to \$1,050,036.

In addition, the Board directed staff to refine the pay-back analysis, based on the differential between 2009 Blacklake Water Rates and 2009 Town Water Rates. Attached is a spread sheet projecting that Blacklake Water Customers will generate approximately \$78,279 per year in income based on the differential between the two rates.

With a loan of \$1,050,036; an interest rate of 5%; and an annual payment of \$78,279, Blacklake should be able to retire the loan in 23 years (See chart at the bottom of the summary sheet – Amortization schedules for each year displayed are available for review at the office).

Also attached is a draft ordinance, drafted by District Legal Counsel to merge the two funds. Staff is presenting a draft at this meeting so your Honorable Board can edit it prior to first reading.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that your Honorable Board review the calculations described above, review and edit the attached draft ordinance and set the first reading of the Ordinance for you March 26, 2008 Board Meeting.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- Town Water Capacity Charge Printout (Partial)
- Revised Summary Sheet
- Comparison of Blacklake 2009 Water Rates with Town Water Rates
- Draft Ordinance

FUND 700-TOWN WATER CAPACITY

02/19/08 09:06 am Loc. # 1 - 999 Gr. # 1 - 999 Book Dep. Summary By Group Period 7/01/06 - 6/30/07 Page 1

Client: 700

Num Loc Prope	rty Desc.	Acquired	Sp Mth C	L_	Life	SV / Bus%	ITC	Cost	Exp/AFD	Prior Dep.	Cur Dep.	End. Dep.
Group # 1 - TR	ANSMISSION	#1525										
1 1 DANA/	PRICE/MALLA	2/05/97	SL	N	50.0000	0		261,672	0	49,278	5,233	54,511
2 1 CREEK	CROSSING-O	12/30/98	SL	N	50.0000	0		212,014	0	31,800	4,240	36,040
3 1 THOMP	SON/TEFFT L	12/17/99	SL	N	50.0000	0		529,202	0	68,796	10,584	79,380
4 1 TEFFT	WATERLINE-	3/15/04	SL	N	50.0000	0		780,324	0	36,430	15,606	52,036
5 1 AVE D	E SOCIOS WA	1/19/06	SL	N	50.0000	0		18,832	0	157	377	534
6 1 HETRI	CK WATER LI	3/14/07	SL	N	50.0000	0		189,840	0c	0	1,266	1,266
Group T	otals							1,991,884	0c	186,461	37,306	223,767
Group # 2 - LA	ND #1560											
	LE WELL LAN	3/25/98	F	N	0.0000	124,402		124,402	0	0	0	0
	ENT-SLO COU			N	0.0000	30,866		30,866	0	0	0	0
Group To	otals					50		155,268	0c		0	0
Group # 3 - PU	MPING #1520											
1 1 *SUND	ALE WELL DR	5/06/98	SL	N	20.0000	0		83,770	0	34,210	4,189	38,399
2 1 *SUND	ALE WELL GA	5/07/99	SL	N	20.0000	0		239,679	0	85,885	11,984	97,869
3 1 1 MG	TANK-DATA F	12/17/99	SL	N	50.0000	0		432,983	0	57,095	8,660	65,755
4 1 FENCE	-MANDI/CHEY	7/30/05	SL	N	20.0000	0		17,214	0	789	861	1,650
Group To	otals							773,646	0c	177,979	25,694	203,673
267 133 7070												
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	ND AND LAND							(2/25/10/20/20	2	525		120
	TANK EASEME	6/30/00	F	N	0.0000	80,471		80,471	0	100		
Group To	otals							80,471	0c	0	0	0
Group # 5 - DI	STRIBUTION	#1530										
	LATERALS-0		SL	N	50.0000	0		22,160	0	1,034	443	1,477
Group To		3, 13, 04	J.		30.0000			22,160	0c		443	1,477
ar oap										1,1031		.,,,,,
Group # 27 - WA	TER-GRANTS											
1 1 SLO C	DUNTY-OLD T	3/15/04	SL	N	50.0000	0		57,205	0	2,669	1,144	3,813
Group To	otals							57,205	00	2,669	1,144	3,813
**************************************												
Group # 28 - WA	TER-TRANS C	ONTRIB										
1 1 TEFFT	WATERLINE-	3/15/04	SL	N	50.0000	0		186,000	0	8,664	3,720	12,384
Group To	otals							186,000	0c	8,664	3,720	12,384
FUND #7	00-TOWN WAT	ER CAPACIT	Y Totals					3,266,634	0	376,807	68,307	445,114
(3) (9)60mL	p a							79 <u>0</u> - 1 <u>27</u> 000 0025100	321	<u> 1900-10 - 1900-14 - 1</u>	93000 EB0990	29200 - 5252.04
Grand To	otals							3,266,634	0	376,807	68,307	445,114

# NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT SUMMARY OF WATER SYSTEM ASSETS AND FINANCIAL RESERVES AS OF JUNE 30, 2006

		TOWN D	IVISION	BLACKLAKE DIVISION			
	Water		Funded		Water	Funded	
	Operating	Water	Depreciaiton		Operating	Depreciation	
	Fund Town	Capacity (Fund	Town Water	Town Division	Blacklake	Blacklake	Blacklake
	(Fund 120)	700)	(Fund 800)	Totals	(Fund 140)	(Fund 820)	Division Total
Water System Assets	1, 5, 5		4	1,5,15,5		1	
1520 - Water-Pumping	1,598,264	774,742	192,373	2,565,379	1,576,268	39,670	1,615,938
1525 - Water-Transmission	1,157,964	1,238,291	WOD-DROW	2,396,255	4 6000 8000	1.753 \$250,000	0
1530 - Water Distribution	475,714	79,365	116,603	671,682	68.047	2,749	70,796
1535 - Water Contributed	6,147,216			6,147,216	505,732		505,732
1540 - Buildings	55,188			55,188			
1545 - Machinery & Equipment	82,129			82,129	9,800	30,645	40,445
1550 - Computer Equipment	65,759		126.822	192,581	7,442	00,010	7,442
1555 - Office Furniture & Fixtures	9,310		120,022	9,310	7,774		7,442
1560 - Land & Land Rights	43,500	235,739		279,239			6
1570 - Vehicles		235,739			15,687		15,687
	102,507	20 425		102,507	15,687	42 502	
1590 - Work in Process		30,125	100 000	30,125	74 400 400	13,593	13,593
1595 - Accumulated Depreciation	(4,396,254)		(33,851)		(1,103,130)	(4,104)	(1,107,234
Book Value of water System Assets	5,341,297	1,986,435	401,947	7,729,679	1,079,846	82,553	1,162,399
Removal of Old Town Laterals		(75,662)		(75,862)			
		1,910,773		7,654,017			
Financial Reserves							
1099 - Cash Balance	899,909	4,654,295	1,776,215	7,330,419	(25,287)	491,609	466,322
1210 - A/R - Utility Billing	36,852	4,004,200	1,770,210	36,852	30,479	431,003	30,479
1220 - Unbilled A/r-Utility Billing	331,000			331,000	29,000		29,000
					29,000		29,000
1240 - Receivable - Other	9,902	E4 700	19,919	9,902	90	5.514	5,604
2135 - Accrued Interest Receivable	10,750	51,732	19,919	82,401			
2100 - Accounts Payable	(47,143)			(51,737)	(11,333)	(2,160)	
2110 - Refunds Payable - MQ	(939)			(939)			0
2120 - Construction Meter Deposit	(11,500)			(11,500)	1525222		0
2130 - Compensated Absences Payable	(23,005)			(23,005)	(2,397)		(2,397
2320 - Accrued Wages	(4,352)			(4,352)	(968)		(968
2450 - Deposit - Pomeroy Water Line				0	(24,170)		(24,170
2510 - Revenue Bonds - Current Portion	(9,000)			(9,000)			
2610 - Revenue Bonds Payable	(129,000)			(129,000)			
Financial Reserves	1,063,474	4,701,433	1,796,134	7,561,041	(4,586)	494,963	490,377
Total of Assets and Reserves	6,404,771	6,687,868	2,198,081	15,290,720	1,075,260	577,516	1,652,776
Removal of Old Town Laterals	0,404,771	(75,662)	2,100,001	(75,662)	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Party of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner	017,010	1,002,770
Namoval of Old Town Laterals		6,612,206		15,215,058			
					E.		
No. of Equivalent Meters				3579	2 0.0		63
					Recomputed		
					removing Old		
Water Statem Assals are Equivalent Mater				2160	Town Laterals 2,139		182
Water System Assets per Equivalent Meter					100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Financial Reserves per Equivalent Meter				2112	2,112 4,251		260

Difference (Lump Sum per Reed Report less Recomputed removing Old

Lump Sum per Reed Report 1,672 1,063,392 (4,272 - 2,600) (1,672 \* 636)

Recomputed removing Old Town Laterals 1,651 21 1,050,036 13,356 (4,251 - 2,600) (1,651 \* 636)

Blacklake Equity Surcharge (\$/Eq, Meter) Revenue Requirement

Principal Balance Interest Rate	1,050,036	356)	
	Principal & Interest	Annual Per Meter Equivalent	Bi-Monthly charge per Meter Equivalent
10 Years	135,984.47	213.81	35.64
11 Years	126,412,67	198.76	33,13
12 Years	118,470.74	186.27	31.05
13 Years	111,782.39	175.76	29.29
14 Years	106,078.80	166.79	27.80
15 Years	101,162,87	159.06	26.51
16 Years	96,886.73	152,34	25,39
17 Years	93,137.29	146.44	24.41
18 Years	89,826,61	141.24	23.54
19 Years	86,885.24	136.61	22,77
20 Years	84,257,61	132.48	22.08
21 Years	81,898,72	128,77	21,46
22 Years	79,771,77	125,43	20.90
23 Years	77,846.33	122.40	20.40

# NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT COMPARISON OF 2009 BLACKLAKE RATES VERSUS 2009 TOWN RATES FOR BLACKLAKE DIVISION

METER SIZE 1 INCH OR LESS 1.5 INCH 2 INCH	# OF METERS 571 12 5 588	2009 RATES - TOWN \$30.84 \$83.97 \$124.61	PER YEAR 6 6 6	AVAILABILITY  CHARGE  REVENUE  \$105,657.84  \$6,045.84  \$3,738.30  \$115,441.98	
METER SIZE 1 INCH OR LESS 1.5 INCH 2 INCH	# OF METERS 571 12 5 588	2009 RATES - BLACKLAKE \$37.07 \$99.42 \$148.37	PER YEAR 6 6 6	AVAILABILITY  CHARGE  REVENUE  \$127,001.82  \$7,158.24  \$4,451.10  \$138,611.16	
	0	DIFFERENCE IN A	VAILABLIT	Y CHARGE	\$23,169.18
USAGE RATES RESIDENTIAL MFD IRRIGATION COMMERCIAL	# OF CUSTOMERS 486 68 31 3 588	ESTIMATED WATER USAGE 40 6 215 95	BILLINGS PER YEAR 6 6 6	WATER USAGE -2009 TOWN RATES 191,289.60 5,042.88 82,379.40 3,522.60 282,234.48	
USAGE RATES RESIDENTIAL MFD IRRIGATION COMMERCIAL	# OF CUSTOMERS 486 68 31 3 588	ESTIMATED WATER USAGE 40 6 215 95	BILLINGS PER YEAR 6 6 6	WATER USAGE -2009 BLACKLAKE RATES 230,947.20 5,899.68 96,375.90 4,121.10 337,343.88	
		DIFFERENCE IN W	VATER USA	GE CHARGES	\$55,109.40
	S AND CHARGES	Y APPROXIMATEI S IN 2009 COMPAR	25 274		\$78,278.58

# NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT ORDINANCE NO. 2008-

# AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT ADDING CHAPTER 3.40 TO THE DISTRICT CODE MERGING TOWN DIVISION AND BLACKLAKE WATER DIVISION

WHEREAS, it is a major responsibility of the Nipomo Community Services District ("District") to:

- A. Operate and maintain its water production and distribution facilities so as to provide adequate fire protection and water service to District water customers;
- B. Maintain adequate levels of revenue, equitably collected from District water customers, to meet the District's financial commitments including operation, maintenance, replacement and administrative costs of District's water production and distribution facilities; and

WHEREAS, the District operates two (2) water divisions, commonly known as the Town Division and the Blacklake Water Division. Each division shares prorata in certain common costs related to District operations including water, sewer and solid waste services; and

WHEREAS, the Town Water System, by design, uses water tanks and a gravity water system to provide water pressure for potable water and fire protection; and

WHEREAS, the Blacklake Water System, by design, uses a hydro-pneumatic pump station that includes tanks and variable speed pumps (collectively "Booster Station") to provide water pressure for potable water and fire protection; and

WHEREAS, on or about June 8, 2005, the District adopted Ordinance 2005-03 that adopted rates and charges for the operation and maintenance of the two water systems. The Differential Rates and Charges are identified in Appendix "A" to Chapter 3.03 of the District Code. Appendix "A" is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the Booster Pump Station requires major reconstruction as reported to the District Board of Directors on October 25, 2006, "all three pumps are worn out, the hydropneumatic tank is unreliable and the plumbing is ineffective"; and

WHEREAS, to address the immediate need to provide Blacklake residents with potable water and fire protection, the District constructed a second and larger intertie between the Town Division and the Blacklake Division in July of 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Blacklake Water Pump Station has been inactive since July, 2006, with Blacklake water customers being supplied with water service and fire protection through the newly constructed intertie; and

WHEREAS, on February 12, 2007, the District received a Memorandum from Boyle Engineering titled Comparative Analysis of Blacklake Supply Options that included three (3) alternatives as follows:

- Stand Alone System rehabilitate and upgrade the major components of the Blacklake Water System; or
- Merge merge the two Water Systems; or
- Hybrid System reconstruct portions of the Blacklake Water System to meet average daily flows with peak flows and fire flows being met by the

interconnection; and

WHEREAS, the February 12, 2007, Boyle Comparative Analysis made the following findings and recommendations:

- That the Town Division could reliably supply fire flows and domestic service to the Blacklake Division; and
- 2. That the systems be merged on the basis of costs savings related to reconstructing the Blacklake Water Facilities; and

WHEREAS, on September 7, 2007, at the District's request, the Reed Group, Inc. provided the District with a report titled Nipomo Community Services District Combined Water Rates System Financial Plan and User Rates (herein the "Report"). The Report concluded, based on an analysis of the difference in value of the two (2) water system assets and financial resources that a Blacklake Equity Surcharge (buy-in) at 1.06 million dollars (lump sum) should be paid for permanently connecting the Blacklake to the Town Division Water Facility; and

WHEREAS, the District Board of Directors reduced the 1.06 million dollar buy-in in recognition that the Town Division Fund includes approximately seventy-five thousand six hundred sixty-seven dollars (\$75,667) in grants for the Tefft Street Project. Therefore, adjusting the fund calculation for the Town Division, the lump sum equity buy-in is set at 1.05 million dollars; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors has considered several methods of amortizing the 1.05 million dollar lump sum equity buy-in over time and finds that using the Rate Differential of existing and future rates as adopted by District Ordinance 2005-03 provides an equitable formula for the payment of the buy-in amount over; and

**WHEREAS**, based upon facts and analysis presented by the Technical Reports, the Study, the Staff Report, and public testimony received, the Board of Directors finds:

- A. The public meetings adopting this Ordinance have been properly noticed pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 (The Brown Act);
- B. The adoption of this Ordinance does not increase water rates.
- C. Using the differential rates as established by Ordinance 2005-03, until such time as the buy-in charge is paid in full, establishes an an equitable means of spreading the buy-in costs among the Blacklake water customers.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Board of Directors of the District as follows:

Section 1. Merger

Chapter 3.40, titled Merger of District Water Divisions, attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and incorporated herein by this reference is hereby approved, adopted and added to the District Code.

Section 2. Budget Adjustment – Second Intertie

The District Staff is directed to return to the Board with a budget adjustment, for a second intertie at Misty Glen Road that will:

A. Assure redundancy of the connection of the two (2) systems; and

B. Provide a means of looping of the two (2) systems.

# Section 3. Accounting

For accounting purposes:

- A. Blacklake Division Water Fund Account 140 and Town Division Water Fund Account 120 will be combined into a single fund; and
- B. Blacklake Division Accounts and Town Division Accounts will be operated under a single accounting system effective July 1, 2008.

### **Section 4.** Incorporation of Recitals

The Recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference. The Recitals and referenced reports and studies contained therein constitute and support the findings of the District in support of this Ordinance.

# **Section 5.** Effect of Repeal on Past Actions and Obligations.

This Ordinance does not affect prosecutions for Ordinance violations committed prior to the effective date of this Ordinance, does not waive any fee or penalty due and unpaid on the effective date of this Ordinance, and does not affect the validity of any bond or cash deposit posted, filed or deposited pursuant to the requirements of any Ordinance.

#### Section 6. Severance Clause.

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, ineffective or in any manner in conflict with the laws of the United States, or the State of California, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Governing Board of the District hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase be declared unconstitutional, ineffective, or in any manner in conflict with the laws of the United States or the State of California.

# **Section 7.** Effect of Headings in Ordinance.

Title, division, part, chapter, article, and section headings contained herein do not in any manner affect the scope, meaning, or intent of the provisions of this Ordinance.

#### Section 8. Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in full force and effect thirty (30) days after its passage. Before the expiration of fifteen (15) days after passage it shall be published once in the with the names of the members of the Board of Directors voting for and against the Ordinance in the 5-Cities Times-Press Recorder and the Tribune.

////
////
////
////
////

passed and adopted by the Board of Directors the day of, 2008 by the following	e Board of Directors held on, 2008 and sof the Nipomo Community Services District on ng roll call vote, to wit:
AYES:	
NOES:	
ABSENT:	
CONFLICTS:	
	Michael Winn, President Nipomo Community Services District Board of Directors
ATTEST:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DONNA K. JOHNSON Secretary to the Board	JON S. SEITZ District Legal Counsel

# Nipomo Community Services District Draft Ordinance Chapter 3.40 Merger of District Water Divisions

# 3.40.010 Merged Water System

- A. The District's Town Division water system and the Blacklake Division water system are hereby merged into a single water division known as the Nipomo Community Services District Water System or the District Water System.
- B. The water system intertie constructed at June, 2006, is hereby declared a permanent connection.
- C. The Blacklake projects known as the Blacklake Booster Station and the Blacklake Hydro-Pneumatic Tank are no longer required and are hereby abandoned.

# 3.40.20 Equitable Adjustment

#### A. Definitions:

- Differential Rates means the difference between existing and future Blacklake and Town Division water rate structure established by District Ordinance 2005-03.
- Equitable Buy-In Charge means the difference between the Town Division's current investment in the District Water System and the Blacklake Division's investment in the District Water System based on the 2006 Audited Financial Report.
- District Water System Capital Facilities means and includes the District Water System capital facilities such as water tanks and distribution system.
- B. There is hereby established an Equitable Buy-In Charge of one million fifty thousand dollars (\$1,050,000), constituting Blacklake Water Division's buy-in for connecting to the District Water System Capital Facilities.
- C. The Equitable buy-In Charge shall be paid, with interest accumulating at five percent (5%) per annum, to the District Water System from the Differential Rates until paid in full (approximately 23 years).

# 3.40.030 Rates and Charges

- A. Upon the full reimbursement of the Equitable Buy-In Charge the Differential Rates shall terminate and the District Water System shall be operated under a single combined rate structure.
- B. District Water System rates and charges shall be adjusted from time to time pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII D of the California Constitution (commonly known as Proposition 218).

#### EXHIBIT "A"

#### APPENDIX A TO CHAPTER 3.03

#### WATER RATES AND CHARGES

#### **BI-MONTHLY MINIMUM CHARGES**

(The bi-monthly minimum charge shall take effect August 1, 2005 and future increases shall take effect on January 1 of each succeeding year.)

#### **TOWN DIVISION\***

SIZE OF METER	AUGUST	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY	JANUARY
	1, 2005	2006	2007	1, 2008	1, 2009
1 Inch or Less	\$16.76	\$18.77	\$20.27	\$21.48	\$22.34
Litigation Charge	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32
1 ½ Inch	\$47.56	\$53.27	\$57.53	\$60.98	\$63.42
Litigation Charge	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36
2 Inch	\$75.33	\$84.37	\$91.12	\$96.59	\$100.45
Litigation Charge	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$14.36
3 Inch	\$140.17	\$156.99	\$169.54	\$179.72	\$186.91
Litigation Charge	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92
4 Inch	\$232.77	\$260.70	\$281.56	\$298.45	\$310.39
Litigation Charge	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00
6 Inch	\$464.07	\$519.76	\$561.34	\$595.02	\$618.82
Litigation Charge	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58
8 Inch	\$741.74	\$830.75	\$897.21	\$951.04	\$989.09
Litigation Charge	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08

<sup>\*</sup>The above bi-monthly minimum charge reflects the adjusted rate established by Ordinance 2003-95 to meet the District's financial obligations relating to the lawsuit entitled Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District vs the City of Santa Maria, the Nipomo Community Services District, et al. When the District's financial obligation regarding this lawsuit have been satisfied, the above litigation charge will be removed.

## **BLACKLAKE DIVISION\***

SIZE OF METER	AUGUST	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY	JANUARY
	1, 2005	2006	2007	1, 2008	1, 2009
1 Inch or Less	\$15.11	\$18.89	\$22.67	\$26.07	\$27.63
Litigation Charge	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32	\$6.32
1 ½ Inch	\$41.80	\$52.25	\$62.71	\$72.11	\$76.44
Litigation Charge	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$14.36
2 Inch	\$65.86	\$82.33	\$98.80	\$113.61	\$120.43
Litigation Charge	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$19.92	\$14.36
3 Inch	\$122.04	\$152.55	\$183.06	\$210.52	\$223.16
Litigation Charge	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92	\$27.92
4 Inch	\$202.28	\$252.85	\$303.42	\$348.94	\$369.87
Litigation Charge	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$36.00
6 Inch	\$402.70	\$503.38	\$604.05	\$694.66	\$736.34
Litigation Charge	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58	\$59.58
8 Inch	\$643.30	\$804.12	\$964.95	\$1,109.69	\$1,176.27
Litigation Charge	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08	\$68.08

<sup>\*</sup>The above bi-monthly minimum charge reflects the adjusted rate established by Ordinance 2003-95 to meet the District's financial obligations relating to the lawsuit entitled Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation

# EXHIBIT "A" (Continued)

#### APPENDIX B TO CHAPTER 3.03

#### WATER RATES AND CHARGES

(The bi-monthly commodity rates shall take effect August 1, 2005 and future rate increases shall take effect on January 1 of each succeeding year.)

#### TOWN DIVISION

#### RESIDENTIAL COMMODITY RATES

	AUGUST 1, 2005	JANUARY 1, 2006	JANUARY 1, 2007	JANUARY 1, 2008	JANUARY 1, 2009
Tier 1 (0-40 Units)	\$1.16	\$1.30	\$1.40	\$1.49	\$1.55
Tier 2 (> 40 Units)	\$1.94	\$2.17	\$2.35	\$2.49	\$2.59

#### TOWN DIVISION

#### NON-RESIDENTIAL COMMODITY RATES

(Multi-family, Commercial, Irrigation, Agriculture, Industry and Construction)

	AUGUST	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY	JANUARY
	1, 2005	2006	2007	1, 2008	1, 2009
All Water Use	\$1.44	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.85	\$1.92

#### **BLACKLAKE DIVISION**

#### RESIDENTIAL COMMODITY RATES

	AUGUST 1, 2005	JANUARY 1, 2006	JANUARY 1, 2007	JANUARY 1, 2008	JANUARY 1, 2009
Tier 1 (0-40 Units)	\$0.99	\$1.24	\$1.49	\$1.71	\$1.81
Tier 2 (> 40 Units)	\$1.66	\$2.08	\$2.49	\$2.86	\$3.04

#### **BLACKLAKE DIVISION**

#### NON-RESIDENTIAL COMMODITY RATES

(Multi-family, Commercial, Irrigation, Agriculture, Industry and Construction)

	AUGUST	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY 1,	JANUARY	JANUARY
	1, 2005	2006	2007	1, 2008	1, 2009
All Water Use	\$1.18	\$1.48	\$1.77	\$2.04	\$2.16