

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: BRUCE BUEL *BB*
DATE: DECEMBER 5, 2008

AGENDA ITEM
C-3
DECEMBER 10, 2008

FALL NMMA GROUNDWATER STORAGE CALCULATION

ITEM

Dr. Brad Newton of SAIC re Fall NMMA GROUNDWATER STORAGE CALCULATIONS [NO ACTION REQUESTED].

BACKGROUND

Brad Newton of SAIC is scheduled to present the attached Technical Memorandum estimating the aggregate above sea level Groundwater Storage for the Nipomo Mesa Management Area at the Board Meeting. It should be noted that this Technical Memorandum has not been reviewed by the NMMA Technical Group.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that your Honorable Board receive the presentations and ask questions as appropriate.

ATTACHMENT –

- * FALL 2008 NMMA GWS TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

T:\BOARD MATTERS\BOARD MEETINGS\BOARD LETTER\BOARD LETTER 2008\081210SAIC.DOC



1 TO: Bruce Buel, General Manager Nipomo Community Services District
2 FROM: Joel Degner, Brad Newton, Ph.D., P.G., Bob Beeby, P.E.
3 RE: Fall 2008 Groundwater in Storage above Mean Sea Level
4 DATE: December 1, 2008

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 Groundwater surface elevations (GSE) underlying the Nipomo Mesa are regularly
7 measured at many places (wells) across the mesa. Hydrographs from individual wells provide
8 a temporal record of the GSE measurements at one location. Presented herein is the Fall 2008
9 GWS estimate along with estimates of historical annual variability in GWS from 1975 to 2008
10 based on groundwater surface elevation measurements collected during Spring and Fall across
11 the Nipomo Mesa. Limited measurements of GSE were available for the years 1982, 1983, 1984,
12 1994 and 1997, thus precluding a reliable estimate of GWS for those years.

13
14 **RESULTS**

15 Estimated Fall 2008 GWS is 65,000 acre-feet (AF), which is 18,000 AF less than Spring 2008
16 and 1,000 AF lower than Fall 2007 (Table 1, Figure 1).

17
18 **METHODOLOGY**

19 The annual estimates of Spring and Fall GWS are based on GSE measurements regularly
20 made by San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Works (SLO DPW), NCSD, USGS, and
21 Woodlands. The integration of GSE data is accomplished by using computer software to
22 interpolate between measurements and calculate GWS within the principal production aquifer
23 assuming an unconfined aquifer and a specific yield of 11.7 percent. Limited measurements of
24 GSE were available for the years 1982, 1983, 1984, 1994 and 1997, precluding a reliable estimate
25 of GWS for those years.

26 The amount of GWS under the Nipomo Mesa Management Area (NMMA) was computed
27 by multiplying the saturated volume above sea level with the aerially weighted specific yield
28 (DWR, 2002), excluding bedrock (Figure 11: Base of Potential Water-Bearing Sediments,
29 presented in the report, Water Resources of the Arroyo Grande - Nipomo Mesa Area [DWR
30 2002]). The amount of GWS under the NMMA was constrained to the boundary determined in
31 Phase III of the trial.

32 Data provided by DWR, consisting of well completion reports, lithographic logs,
33 electronic logs, and pump tests, were used to develop an understanding of the hydrogeologic
34 conditions underlying the NMMA. A systematic review of these data pertaining to wells used

D
R
A
F
T

To: Bruce Buel
Re: Fall 2008 GWS
Date: December 1, 2008
Page: 2 of 2

1 for storage calculations was conducted in order to verify that each well's screened interval is
2 within the principal production aquifer (Paso Robles Formation).

3 **Groundwater Surface Elevation Measurements**

4 Groundwater surface elevation data were obtained from SLO DPW, NCSO, USGS, and
5 Woodlands (Table 2). SLO DPW measures GSE in monitoring wells during the spring and the
6 fall of each year. Woodlands and NCSO measures GSE in their monitoring wells monthly. For
7 the years 1975 to 1999, available representative GSE data were used to estimate GWS. For the
8 years 2000 to 2008, only GSE data from the same 45 wells were used to estimate GWS.

D

R

A

F

T

9 The GSE data was reviewed in combination with well completion reports and historical
10 hydrographic records in order to exclude measurements that do not accurately represent static
11 water levels within the principal production aquifer. Wells that do not access the principal
12 production aquifer or were otherwise determined to not accurately represent static water levels
13 within the aquifer were not included in analysis.

14 **Groundwater Surface Interpolation**

15 The individual GSE measurements from each year were used to produce a GSE field by
16 interpolation using the inverse distance weighting (IDW) method.

17 **Groundwater Volume Estimate**

18 The amount of groundwater in storage under the Nipomo Mesa was estimated for the
19 boundary determined in Phase III of the trial. The GWS was estimated by subtracting both the
20 mean sea level surface (elevation equals zero) and the volume of bedrock above sea level from
21 the saturated volume. The bedrock surface elevation is based on Figure 11: Base of Potential
22 Water-Bearing Sediments, presented in the report, Water Resources of the Arroyo Grande -
23 Nipomo Mesa Area (DWR 2002). The bedrock surface elevation was preliminarily verified by
24 reviewing driller reports obtained from DWR. The saturated volume above sea level was
25 multiplied by a specific yield of 11.7% to estimate the recoverable amount of GWS. The specific
26 yield is based on the average weighted specific yield for the Nipomo Mesa Hydrologic Sub-
27 Area (DWR 2002, pg. 86).

28 **REFERENCES**

29 Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2002. Water Resources of the Arroyo Grande -
30 Nipomo Mesa Area, Southern District Report.


Table 1

**Spring and Fall
Groundwater in Storage above Mean Sea Level
for Phase III Boundary**

Year	Rainfall (inches)	Spring GWS (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Fall GWS (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Spring to Fall Difference (Acre-Feet)
1975	17.29	99,000	54	91,000	54	8,000
1976	13.45	82,000	45	76,000	65	6,000
1977	10.23	64,000	59	54,000	63	10,000
1978	30.66	84,000	62	---	35	---
1979	15.80	72,000	57	77,000	63	(5,000)
1980	16.57	88,000	55	89,000	46	(1,000)
1981	13.39	97,000	46	75,000	47	22,000
1982	18.58	123,000	42	---	31	---
1983	33.21	---	35	95,000	42	---
1984	11.22	---	14	76,000	37	---
1985	12.20	106,000	37	82,000	41	24,000
1986	16.85	98,000	51	67,000	51	31,000
1987	11.29	83,000	48	71,000	52	12,000
1988	12.66	80,000	51	66,000	49	14,000
1989	12.22	59,000	47	47,000	57	12,000
1990	7.12	62,000	55	49,000	53	13,000
1991	13.06	62,000	52	55,000	54	7,000
1992	15.66	61,000	52	35,000	48	26,000
1993	20.17	72,000	54	52,000	61	20,000
1994	12.15	60,000	54	---	36	---
1995	25.47	87,000	35	62,000	52	25,000
1996	16.54	76,000	45	62,000	57	14,000
1997	20.50	---	20	91,000	48	---
1998	33.67	105,000	41	93,000	44	12,000
1999	12.98	106,000	56	88,000	49	18,000
2000	14.47	108,000	44	84,000	41	24,000
2001	18.78	118,000	43	85,000	35	33,000
2002	8.86	96,000	29	79,000	41	17,000
2003	11.39	94,000	37	66,000	42	28,000
2004	12.57	89,000	42	81,000	35	8,000
2005	22.23	98,000	38	79,000	39	19,000
2006	20.83	107,000	44	78,000	41	29,000
2007	6.96	93,000	44	66,000	42	27,000
2008	15.18	83,000	43	65,000	42	18,000

---: insufficient for evaluation

Figure 1



TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: BRUCE BUEL *BB*
DATE: DECEMBER 5, 2008

AGENDA ITEM
C-4
DECEMBER 10, 2008

MONTHLY ENGINEER UPDATE

ITEM

NCSD District Engineer Peter Sevcik re District Engineer Activities in November [NO ACTION REQUESTED].

BACKGROUND

Peter Sevcik is scheduled to summarize the attached outline.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that your Honorable Board receive the presentations and ask questions as appropriate.

ATTACHMENTS

- District Engineer Activities Outline

T:\BOARD MATTERS\BOARD MEETINGS\BOARD LETTER\BOARD LETTER 2008\081210EngReport.DOC



NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

148 SOUTH WILSON STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 326
NIPOMO, CA 93444 - 0326
(805) 929-1133 FAX (805) 929-1932
Web site address www.ncsd.ca.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: BRUCE BUEL, GENERAL MANAGER
FROM: PETER V. SEVCIK, P.E., DISTRICT ENGINEER *PVS*
DATE: DECEMBER 4, 2008
RE: DISTRICT ENGINEER ACTIVITIES UPDATE

- **Southland WWTF Upgrade**
 - Revised Draft Master Plan completed
 - Draft Preliminary Screening Evaluation of Disposal Alternatives completed
 - Draft Initial Study, including Project Objectives, in progress
 - Setup meeting with RWQCB staff
- **Water and Sewer Master Plan Implementation**
 - Reviewing process overview system design for SCADA upgrade
 - Managing development of preventative maintenance plan
 - Developing bid document for lift station control panel replacement project
 - Managing standpipe mixing upgrade design
- **Santa Maria Waterline Intertie Project**
 - Assisted with property acquisition negotiations
 - Reviewed plan for pressure testing existing 12 inch waterline in Orchard Road
 - Continued to assist General Manager with project planning, environmental review, and Committee meetings
- **Willow Road Waterline Extension Phase 1 Project**
 - Met with County to review 50% design submittal
 - Met with Cannon to review 50% design submittal and provided guidance for proceeding with design
 - Working with General Manager, District Counsel and County to develop reimbursement agreement for County to install water line
- **Waterline Relocation for County Drainage Project**
 - All work completed
 - Working on closing out project
- **Sewer System Management Plan**
 - Developing Overflow Emergency Response Plan and Fats, Oils and Grease (FOG) Control Elements

District Engineer Activities Update

December 4, 2008

Page 2

- **Sundale Well**
 - Researched existing well construction and operating records to assist Cannon with development of electrical design for conversion

- **Safety Program**
 - Continued to monitor on-line training program for all District employees
 - Scheduled to conduct training for all operations employees on December 9

- **Development Plan Review**
 - Village at Nipomo Reimbursement Agreement – Mary Avenue waterline
 - Tract 2652 Reimbursement Agreement – Juniper sanitary sewer
 - Tract 2689 construction conflict resolution
 - Continued service request, plan check and project acceptance processing


- **Other**
 - Monthly production well level report and measurement investigation
 - Submitted monthly compliance reports for the water and sewer systems
 - Provided support as needed to Utility Superintendent

Attachments

NCSD Production Well Water Level and Rainfall Graph

NCSD Production Well Pumping Status at Time of Level Measurement

NCS D PRODUCTION WELL WATER LEVEL AND RAINFALL



NCSD Production Well Pumping Status

	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-08	Jul-08	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08
Eureka	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No		Yes	Yes	No	
Via Concha	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No		No	No	Yes	
BL #3	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	No	
BL #4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	No	
Sundale	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No	No	
Bevington	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No		Yes	Yes	No	
Knollwood	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	
Olympic	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Mandi	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No	
Cheyene	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No	

Yes - Indicates well pumping at time of level measurement
 No - Indicates well not pumping at time of level measurement