

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: MICHAEL LEBRUN *MLC*
DATE: DEC 2, 2009



BRAD NEWTON, SAIC FALL GROUNDWATER LEVEL UPDATE

ITEM

Presentation of the fall groundwater index for the Nipomo Mesa area.

BACKGROUND

Brad Newton of SAIC is scheduled to summarize the attached report. The report is an independent product of SAIC and is not reviewed or recognized by the Nipomo Mesa Management Area Technical group.

FISCAL IMPACT

Development of this draft did use previously budgeted staff time.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Board receive the Report and give direction to staff.

ATTACHMENTS

- Fall 2009 Groundwater Index
- Presentation Notes

1 **TO:** Michael LeBrun, Interim General Manager, Nipomo Community Services District
2 **FROM:** Joel Degner E.I.T., Brad Newton, Ph.D., P.G.
3 **RE:** Fall 2009 Groundwater Index
4 **DATE:** December 01, 2009

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 Groundwater surface elevations (GSE) underlying the Nipomo Mesa are regularly
7 measured at many places (wells) across the mesa. The Fall 2009 Groundwater Index (GWI) has
8 been estimated and presented herein along with historical GWI from 1975 to present based on
9 these groundwater surface elevation measurements collected during spring and fall across the
10 Nipomo Mesa. Limited measurements of GSE were available for the years 1982, 1983, 1984,
11 1994 and 1997, thus precluding a reliable estimate of GWI for those years.

12 Ground elevation surveys for the key wells were conducted in preparation of the 1st
13 Annual Report - Calendar Year 2008 for the Nipomo Mesa Management Area (NMMA). These
14 updated reference points were not incorporated into the GWI to preserve consistency in the
15 historical calculations and presentations.

16 The NMMA Technical Group has not reviewed this technical memorandum, its findings,
17 or any presentation of this evaluation.

18
19 **RESULTS**

20 Estimated Fall 2009 GWI is 59,000 acre-feet (AF), which is 6,000 AF less than Fall 2009
21 (Table 1, Figure 1). The Key Well Index from NMMA 1st Annual Report Calendar Year 2008
22 generally follows the same historical trends as the GWI estimates (Figure 1).

23
24 **METHODOLOGY**

25 The annual estimates of Spring and Fall GWI are based on GSE measurements regularly
26 made by San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Works (SLO DPW), NCSD, USGS, and
27 Woodlands. The integration of GSE data is accomplished by using computer software to
28 interpolate between measurements and calculate GWI within the principal production aquifer
29 assuming an unconfined aquifer and a specific yield of 11.7 percent. Limited measurements of
30 GSE were available for the years 1982, 1983, 1984, 1994 and 1997, precluding a reliable estimate
31 of GWI for those years.

32 **Groundwater Surface Elevation Measurements**

33 Groundwater surface elevation data were obtained from SLO DPW, NCSD, USGS, and
34 Woodlands. SLO DPW measures GSE in monitoring wells during the spring and the fall of

D
R
A
F
T

To: Michael LeBrun
Re: Fall 2009 GWI
Date: December 01, 2009
Page: 2 of 5

1 each year. Woodlands and NCSD measures GSE in their monitoring wells monthly. For the
2 years 1975 to 1999, available representative GSE data were used to estimate GWI. For the years
3 2000 to 2009, only GSE data from the same 45 wells were used to estimate GWI.

4 The GSE data was reviewed in combination with well completion reports and historical
5 hydrographic records in order to exclude measurements that do not accurately represent static
6 water levels within the principal production aquifer. Wells that do not access the principal
7 production aquifer or were otherwise determined to not accurately represent static water levels
8 within the aquifer were not included in analysis.

9 **Groundwater Surface Interpolation**

10 The individual GSE measurements from each year were used to produce a GSE field by
11 interpolation using the inverse distance weighting (IDW) method.

12 **Groundwater Index**

13 The value of the groundwater index was estimated for the boundary determined in Phase
14 III of the trial. The GWI was estimated by subtracting both the mean sea level surface (elevation
15 equals zero) and the volume of bedrock above sea level from the saturated volume. The
16 bedrock surface elevation is based on Figure 11: Base of Potential Water-Bearing Sediments,
17 presented in the report, Water Resources of the Arroyo Grande - Nipomo Mesa Area (DWR
18 2002). The bedrock surface elevation was preliminarily verified by reviewing driller reports
19 obtained from DWR. The saturated volume above sea level was multiplied by a specific yield of
20 11.7% to estimate the recoverable amount of GWI. The specific yield is based on the average
21 weighted specific yield for the Nipomo Mesa Hydrologic Sub-Area (DWR 2002, pg. 86).

22 **Key Well Index**

23 The NMMA Technical Group selected the data from eight inland key wells to represent
24 the whole of the NMMA. The average spring groundwater elevation of these key wells is used
25 to calculate the Key Wells Index.

26 The Key Well Index was calculated annually using Spring GSE measurements from 1975
27 to 2008. The Key Wells were selected to represent various portions of the groundwater basin
28 within the NMMA. In selecting the eight key wells, the following criteria were applied so that
29 the wells generally represent the NMMA as a whole:

- 30 (1) The wells are geographically distributed,
31 (2) No single well overly influences the Key Well Index.

32 The first criterion was met in the selection of the wells, such that no well represented a
33 disproportionate area. To meet the second criterion, groundwater elevations from each well
34 were normalized so that any well where elevations were on the average higher or lower than
35 the other wells did not overly influence the magnitude of the Key Well Index. This

D

R

A

F

T

To: Michael LeBrun
Re: Fall 2009 GWI
Date: December 01, 2009
Page: 3 of 5

1 normalization was accomplished by dividing each spring groundwater elevation measurement
2 by the sum of all the Spring GSE data for that well.

3 The Key Well Index was defined for each year as the average of the normalized spring
4 groundwater data from each well. The lowest value of the Key Well Index could be considered
5 the "historical low" within the NMMA.

6

7 **REFERENCES**

8 Department of Water Resources (DWR), 2002. Water Resources of the Arroyo Grande -
9 Nipomo Mesa Area, Southern District Report.

D
R
A
F
T

To: Michael LeBrun
 Re: Fall 2009 GWI
 Date: December 01, 2009
 Page: 4 of 5

Table 1

Spring and Fall
 Groundwater Index
 (GWI)


Year	Rainfall (Inches)	Spring GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Fall GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Spring to Fall Difference (Acre-Feet)
1975	17.29	99,000	54	91,000	54	8,000
1976	13.45	82,000	45	76,000	65	6,000
1977	10.23	64,000	59	54,000	63	10,000
1978	30.66	84,000	62	---	35	---
1979	15.80	72,000	57	77,000	63	(5,000)
1980	16.57	88,000	55	89,000	46	(1,000)
1981	13.39	97,000	46	75,000	47	22,000
1982	18.58	123,000	42	---	31	---
1983	33.21	---	35	95,000	42	---
1984	11.22	---	14	76,000	37	---
1985	12.20	106,000	37	82,000	41	24,000
1986	16.85	98,000	51	67,000	51	31,000
1987	11.29	83,000	48	71,000	52	12,000
1988	12.66	80,000	51	66,000	49	14,000
1989	12.22	59,000	47	47,000	57	12,000
1990	7.12	62,000	55	49,000	53	13,000
1991	13.06	62,000	52	55,000	54	7,000
1992	15.66	61,000	52	35,000	48	26,000
1993	20.17	72,000	54	52,000	61	20,000
1994	12.15	60,000	54	---	36	---
1995	25.47	87,000	35	74,000	52	25,000
1996	16.54	76,000	45	62,000	57	14,000
1997	20.50	---	20	91,000	48	---
1998	33.67	105,000	41	93,000	44	12,000
1999	12.98	106,000	56	88,000	49	18,000
2000	14.47	108,000	44	84,000	41	24,000
2001	18.78	118,000	43	85,000	35	33,000
2002	8.86	96,000	29	79,000	41	17,000
2003	11.39	94,000	37	66,000	42	28,000
2004	12.57	89,000	42	81,000	35	8,000
2005	22.23	98,000	38	79,000	39	19,000
2006	20.83	107,000	44	78,000	41	29,000
2007	6.96	93,000	44	66,000	42	27,000
2008	15.18	83,000	43	65,000	42	18,000
2009	10.15	76,000	44	59,000	44	17,000

---: insufficient for evaluation

D
R
A
F
T

To: Michael LeBrun
Re: Fall 2009 GWI
Date: December 01, 2009
Page: 5 of 5

Figure 1



D
R
A
F
T

An aerial photograph of a rural landscape, likely a farm or agricultural area. A prominent yellow line is drawn across the image, forming a large, irregular boundary that encloses a significant portion of the land. The terrain is a mix of green fields, brownish soil, and some structures. The text is overlaid on this image.


Fall 2009 Groundwater Surface Elevations and Rainfall 2009

Prepared by SAIC Engineering, Inc.

December 9, 2009

Overview

- Rainfall
- Estimate of Fall 2009 Groundwater Index
- Key Well Index





Rainfall across the Nipomo Mesa

Rainfall

Annual Data

Historical Rainfall on the Nipomo Mesa

Water Year	Mehlschau (38)	Nipomo CDF (151.1)
1990-1991	16.47	13.18
1991-1992	18.02	15.66
1992-1993	22.2	20.17
1993-1994	13.87	12.15
1994-1995	31.47	25.87
1995-1996	18.57	16.54
1996-1997	23.38	20.5
1997-1998	39.05	33.67
1998-1999	15.53	12.98
1999-2000	19.93	
2000-2001	21.62	
2001-2002	10.25	
2002-2003	16.95	11.39
2003-2004	13.35	12.57
2004-2005	25.81	22.23
2005-2006	26.52	20.83
2006-2007	8.22	7.11
2007-2008	15.8	15.18
2008-2009	12.15	10.31
Period of Record Average	16.6	15.4

2008-2009

Melschau (38)

12.15 in

Nipomo CDF(151.1)

10.31 in


An aerial photograph of a rural landscape, likely a valley or basin, showing a mix of agricultural fields, some green and some brown, and a central area that appears to be a town or village. A prominent yellow line is drawn across the image, following the general shape of the valley floor and surrounding hills, possibly indicating a specific geographic boundary or watershed. The text is overlaid on the central part of the image.

Fall 2009 Groundwater Index



GWI Estimate

Spring and Fall
Groundwater Index
(GWI)



Fall 2009 GWI

GWI Estimate

Spring and Fall
Groundwater Index
(GWI)

Year	Rainfall (inches)	Spring GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Fall GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Spring to Fall Difference (Acre-Feet)
1975	17.29	99,000	54	91,000	54	8,000
1976	13.45	82,000	45	76,000	65	6,000
1977	10.23	64,000	59	54,000	63	10,000
1978	30.66	84,000	62	---	35	---

Year	Rainfall (inches)	Spring GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells	Fall GWI (Acre-Feet)	Number of Wells
2007	6.96	93,000	44	66,000	42
2008	15.18	83,000	43	65,000	42
2009	10.15	76,000	44	59,000	44

1992	15.66	61,000	52	35,000	48	26,000
1993	20.17	72,000	54	52,000	61	20,000
1994	12.15	60,000	54	---	36	---
1995	25.47	87,000	35	74,000	52	25,000
1996	16.54	76,000	45	62,000	57	14,000
1997	20.50	---	29	91,000	48	---
1998	33.67	105,000	41	93,000	44	12,000
1999	12.98	106,000	56	88,000	49	18,000
2000	14.47	108,000	44	84,000	41	24,000
2001	18.78	118,000	43	85,000	35	33,000
2002	8.86	96,000	29	79,000	41	17,000
2003	11.39	94,000	37	66,000	42	28,000
2004	12.57	89,000	42	81,000	35	8,000
2005	22.23	98,000	38	79,000	39	19,000
2006	20.83	107,000	44	78,000	41	29,000
2007	6.96	93,000	44	66,000	42	27,000
2008	15.18	83,000	43	65,000	42	18,000
2009	10.15	76,000	44	59,000	44	17,000


Fall 2009 GWT

Spatial Distribution

- **Groundwater surface elevations are not uniform**
- **Lowest water levels are in the central and western portion of the Nipomo Mesa**
- **Several GWE are below sea level in the western portion of the Nipomo Mesa**


Fall 2009 GWI

Groundwater Surface Elevation Map



Fall 2009 GWI

Groundwater Surface Elevation Map




DRAFT

NOTES:
GWE: groundwater surface elevation
ft msl: feet above mean sea level
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 10N
Horizontal Datum: NAD 83




Fall 2008
Groundwater Surface Elevations




DATE: 11/19/09 By: J. Degner

Fall 2009 GWT

Groundwater Surface Elevation Map






Key Well Index

Fall 2009 GWI


Key Well Index




Fall 2009 GWI


Key Well Index


Spring and Fall
Groundwater Index
(GWI)





COASTAL SENTRY WELL MONITORING






NOTES:
 GWE: groundwater surface elevation
 ft msl: feet above mean sea level
 Coordinate System: UTM Zone 10N
 Horizontal Datum: NAD 83



Coastal Monitoring Wells



Copy of document found at www.NoNewWipTax.com




DATE: 12/3/09

BY: J. Degner

FIGURE




Coastal Monitoring Wells




SENTRY WELLS


Water Level Elevation -- Coastal Monitoring Wells 32S/12E-24B




SENTRY WELLS



Water Level Elevation -- Coastal Monitoring Wells 12N/36W-36L




Chloride Concentrations for Coastal Monitoring Wells 12N/36W-36L1, -36L2




SENTRY WELLS

Water Level Elevation -- Coastal Monitoring Wells 11N/36W-12C




Chloride Concentrations for Coastal Monitoring Wells 11N/36W-12C1, -12C2, -12C3



An aerial photograph of a rural landscape, possibly a valley or a town area, with a yellow boundary line drawn around a central region. The landscape is a mix of green fields, brownish-grey areas, and some buildings. The word "QUESTIONS?" is written vertically in the center of the image.

QUESTIONS?



NORTH
300
200
100
0
-100
-200
-300
-400
-500
-600
-700
-800
-900
-1000
-1100
-1200
-1300
-1400
ELEVATION IN FEET - USGS DATUM

A'
300
200
100
0
-100
-200
-300
-400
-500
-600
-700
-800
-900
-1000
-1100
-1200
-1300
-1400
ELEVATION IN FEET - USGS DATUM

EXPLANATION

Formation and Symbol

Qs	Dune Sand
Qal	Alluvial Deposits
Qt	Terrace Deposits
QTpr	Paso Robles Formation
Tpps	Squire Member, Pismo Formation
Tmo	Obispo Formation
Tmr	Rincon Formation
Tu	Undifferentiated Tertiary Deposits
KJf	Franciscan Complex

12N/36W-36L	Water well with electric and geologic log
32S/12E-13L	Water well with geologic log
10N/36W-02G	Oil well with electric log, dashed above record

Hydrogeologic Unit

	Unconsolidated to weakly cemented clayey silt to silty clay with minor sand and gravel
	Unconsolidated to weakly cemented sand, gravel, silt, sandstone with minor clay and silty clay
	Bedrock

	Perforated interval
	Fault, arrows indicate relative vertical movement
	Unconformity
	Contact
	1995 Groundwater Elevation

Note: References include: Department of Water Resources, 1970; Duchon-Banks et al., 1978; Hall, 1981; Hitchman, 1988; Hanson et al., 1994; Lellis et al., 1994.

Location of geologic section shown on Plate 2

Vertical scale 20 times horizontal scale

Horizontal scale in feet

WATER RESOURCES OF THE
ARROYO GRANDE - NIPOMO MESA AREA



PLATE 3
GEOLOGIC SECTION A - A'

Department of Water Resources, Southern District, 2002