FILED SCOTT S. SLATER (State Bar No. 117317) 1 ROBERT J. SAPERSTEIN (State Bar No. 166051) STEPHANIE C. OSLER (State Bar No. 186716) 2 99 MAR -2 AM 9: 17 HATCH AND PARENT 3 21 East Carrillo Street STEPHEN V. LOVE COUNTY CLERK SANTA CLARA COUNTY Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2782 Telephone: (805) 963-7000 Facsimile: (805) 965-4333 5 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant 6 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 SANTA MARIA VALLEY WATER CONSERVA-) CASE NO. CV 770214 11 TION DISTRICT, a public entity, CROSS-COMPLAINT OF SOUTHERN 12 Plaintiff, CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY FOR DECLARATORY AND 13 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND 14 ADJUDICATION OF WATER CITY OF SANTA MARIA, a municipal RIGHTS corporation; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 15 WATER COMPANY, a California corpor-) [Complaint Filed 7/14/97] ation; and CITY OF GUADALUPE, a 16 municipal corporation; DOES 1 through 3,000 inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 CITY OF SANTA MARIA, a municipal corporation, 20 Cross-Complainant, 21 22 SANTA MARIA VALLEY WATER 23 CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public entity; and ROES 1 through 10,000, 24 inclusive, 25 Cross-Defendants. 26 27 caption continued ... 28 SCWC Cross-Complaint 140073.1:6774.59 236 X

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY, 2 Cross-Complainant, 3 SANTA MARIA VALLEY WATER CONSER-VATION DISTRICT; CITY OF GUADALUPE;) CITY OF SANTA MARIA; BANKERS TRUST COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, as Trustee for the Eugene Rene LeRoy Trust; BOREL BANK & TRUST COMPANY, as Trustee of the Jean LeRoy Trust; RICHARD E. ADAM, an individual; NORMAN TEIXEIRA, an individual; EVELYN M. TEIXEIRA, an individual; DEAN TEIXEIRA, an individual; GLEN TEIXEIRA, an individual; J.C. TEIXEIRA, an individual; ELSIE TEIXEIRA, an individual; ARTHUR R. TOGNAZZINI FAMILY FARMS, a California business entity; U.S. TRUST COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, N.A., as Trustee of the Vecchiolo Family Trust; BETTERAVIA PROPERTIES, a California business entity; DANIEL PHELAN, an individual; RUTH MARIE PHELAN, an individual; SILVA IV, a general partnership; SILVA V, a general partnership; SILVA VI, a general partnership; MANUAL SILVA, JR., an individual; EDWARD W. SILVA, an individual; HELEN E. SILVA, an individual; APIO LAND COMPANY, a California business 1.8 entity; KATHRYN W. DONOVAN, an 19 individual; NICHOLAS J. TOMPKINS, an individual; KATHLEEN J. TOMPKINS, an individual; RUTHANNE S. TOMPKINS, an individual; OSR ENTERPRISES, INC., a California 21 corporation; CLIFFORD SOUZA, an individual; LUCILLE SOUZA, an individual; JAMES SHARER, an individual; MARETŢI & MINETTI RANCH COMPANY, a California business entity; TH LIMITED PART-NERSHIP, a limited partnership; ROBERT MONDAVI PROPERTIES, INC., a corporation; and DOES 3,001 26 through 5,000 Cross-Defendants. 28

SCWC Cross-Complaint

237

Cross-Complainant and defendant Southern California Water Company ("SCWC") alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 526 and 1060.
- 2. Venue is proper in this Court upon special assignment from the Judicial Counsel, after the underlying Complaint in this action was originally filed in the County of San Luis Obispo because the water and real property which are the subject of this action are located in the County of San Luis Obispo.

II. PARTIES

- 3. Defendant and Cross-Complainant SCWC is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an investor-owned public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission. SCWC provides retail water service to customers in portions of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties. Most if not all of SCWC's certificated service area overlies the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin ("Basin").
- 4. Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District ("District") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a water conservation district organized under the Water Code section 74000 et seg.
- 5. Defendant and Cross-Defendant City of Guadalupe is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a municipal corporation of the State of California, located entirely within the County of Santa Barbara. The City of Guadalupe provides retail municipal water service to customers within its boundaries.

28

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

18

19

21

23

24

HATCH AND PARENT 1 EAST CARRILLO STRE SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-2782

3

SCWC Cross-Complaint

238

- 6. Defendant and Cross-Defendant City of Santa Maria is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a municipal corporation of the State of California, located entirely within the County of Santa Barbara. The City of Santa Maria provides retail municipal water service to customers within its boundaries.
- 7. Cross-Defendant Bankers Trust Company of California, as Trustee for the Eugene Rene LeRoy Trust, has, and at all times mentioned herein had, a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 8. Cross-Defendant Borel Bank & Trust Company, as Trustee of the Jean LeRoy Trust, has, and at all times mentioned herein had, a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 9. Cross-Defendants Richard E. Adam, Norman Teixeira, Evelyn M. Teixeira, Dean Teixeira, Glen Teixeira, J.C. Teixeira, Elsie Teixeira, Daniel Phelan, Ruth Marie Phelan, Edward W. Silva, Helen E. Silva, Manual Silva, Jr., Kathryn W. Donovan, Nicholas J. Tompkins, Kathleen J. Tompkins, Ruthanne S. Tompkins, Clifford Souza, Lucille Souza, and James Sharer are, and at all times mentioned herein were, individuals who each have a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 10. Cross-Defendant Arthur R. Tognazzini Family Farms is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a business entity of unknown character, that has a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 11. Cross-Defendant U.S. Trust Company of California, N.A., as Trustee of the Vecchiolo Family Trust, has, and at all times mentioned herein had, a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.



SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

- 12. Cross-Defendant Betteravia Properties is, and all times mentioned herein was, a business entity of unknown character, that has a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 13. Cross-Defendants Silva IV, Silva V, and Silva VI are, and at all times mentioned herein were, general partnerships, each with a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 14. Cross-Defendant OSR Enterprises, Inc. is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation, with a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 15. Cross-Defendant Maretti & Minetti Ranch Co. is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a business entity of unknown character, that has a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 16. Cross-Defendant TH Limited Partnership is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a limited partnership, with a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 17. Cross-Defendant Robert Mondavi Properties, Inc. is a corporation that has a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 18. Cross-Defendant Apio Land Company is a business entity of unknown character with a real property interest in the Basin groundwater.
- 19. Each of Cross-Defendants identified as Does 3,001 through 5,000, inclusive, claim some right, title or interest to the Basin and/or its water and such claim is, or may be, adverse to SCWC's claim or rights. SCWC is unaware of the true names and identities of Does 3,001 through 5,000 and therefore sues the Doe defendants by such fictitious names, and will amend this pleading to reflect their true identities and capacities once ascertained.



SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

20. The Basin is located in northern Santa Barbara County and southern San Luis Obispo County, and is fed mainly by the Cuyama and Sisquoc Rivers. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the Basin occupies the Santa Maria Valley from roughly the community of Sisquoc on the east, and northeasterly through the City of Santa Maria and the town of Orcutt to the Pacific Ocean, about four miles west of the City of Guadalupe. It is bordered by the Nipomo Mesa and the San Rafael Mountains to the north and east, by the Casmalia and Solomon Hills to the south, and by the Pacific Ocean to the west. The Basin underlies the Santa Maria Valley, the Orcutt Uplands, the Sisquoc Plain, and the Nipomo Mesa.

21. Groundwater from the Basin supplies SCWC and Cross-Defendants with water for domestic, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses.

22. All water appropriated by SCWC for sale to customers within its certificated service area is dedicated to a public use. (Cal. Const., art. X, § 5.) As a public water supplier, SCWC has the right to extract water from the Basin to meet the current and future demands of the community it has dedicated its water rights and facilities to serve. (Wat. Code, §§ 106, 106.5.)

23. SCWC or its predecessors-in-interest have relied on Basin groundwater for several decades. In particular, SCWC provides retail water to about 12,300 customers in five separate communities in the greater Santa Maria area. These five communities -- Orcutt, Tanglewood, Lake Marie, Sisquoc, and Nipomo -- are served through five physically non-interconnected systems. SCWC uses approxi-

HATCH AND PARENT 21 EAST CARRILLO STRE SANTA BARBARA, CA

2

13

14

16

17

18

22

25

28

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

mately 10,000 acre feet per year of water to serve these customers.

Further, SCWC anticipates an increase in water use as the property
within and adjacent to its service territory is developed.

24. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that groundwater pumping in the Basin has exceeded natural recharge for decades and therefore the Basin was and continues to be in overdraft. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the total groundwater pumping exceeds natural replenishment by about 20,000 to 30,000 acre feet per year. Many studies conducted and made public over the years by various public agencies and private consultants have confirmed that the Basin is in overdraft. (See, e.g., Santa Barbara County Water Agency, Santa Maria Valley Water Resources Report (1994); City of Santa Maria Long-Term Water Management Plan (1991); City of Santa Maria Preliminary State Project Water Implementation Study (1991); Joint Water Committee White Paper: A Summary of the Santa Maria Valley Water Problems and Alternatives (1988); Department of Water Resources, Santa Barbara County State Water Project Alternatives (1985).) Although pumped groundwater is replenished to a limited degree through the operation of the Twitchell Project, groundwater levels have been in a declining trend on a continuous basis since the early part of this century. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the Basin has been in overdraft for at least five years prior to the filing of this action.

25. The condition of overdraft, if not restrained by order of this Court, may have numerous adverse effects, including, but not limited to, seawater intrusion into the Basin, increased pump lifts causing higher cost of pumping, well interference, land subsidence,

HATCH AND PARENT 21 EAST CARRILLO STRE SANTA BARBARA, CA

5

6

7 8

11

13

15

16

17

19

21

22

24

25

28

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

shortages of water to all Basin pumpers, and decreased water quality.

- 26. Based on its historical use as a public water supplier and the long-term existence of overdraft, SCWC has established appropriative and prescriptive rights to the Basin.
- 27. Each Cross-Defendant has and continues to rely on the groundwater within the Basin such that the combined extractions continue to cause overdraft and deficiency in the available water supplies from the Basin. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that each Cross-Defendant claims a right to extract Basin groundwater and threatens to increase its extractions without regard to the rights of SCWC. Continued uncontrolled extractions have resulted in and will continue to result in a diminution and impairment of the Basin groundwater and will deprive SCWC of water to which it is entitled.
- 28. In 1991, SCWC secured a contractual entitlement to purchase up to 500 acre feet per year of water from the State Water Project ("SWP"). In addition to using SWP water for direct delivery to its customers, SCWC is considering plans to store such water in the Basin by means of injection wells, spreading ponds, in-lieu storage, and capture of return flows. The purchase and storage of SWP water will supplement SCWC's pumping from the Basin, will provide better quality water than native groundwater, will decrease the adverse conditions in the Basin related to the overdraft, such as well interference, increased pump lifts, and land subsidence, and will provide supplemental, better quality water to SCWC in times of drought and shortage.

HATCH AND PARENT EAST CARRILLO STREE SANTA BARBARA CA

2

5

7

14

16 17

21

25

28

111

8

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(For Prescriptive Rights Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 29. Cross-complainant SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 28 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 30. Continuously and for more than five years preceding the date of this action, SCWC has pumped water from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes, and has done so in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, uninterrupted, hostile, adverse manner, and has done so under a claim of right. Because of such pumping, SCWC contends that it has acquired prescriptive rights within the Basin. SCWC contends that its prescriptive rights to the Basin are superior to the rights of any of the Cross-Defendants.
- 31. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between SCWC and Cross-Defendants in that SCWC is informed and believes and upon that basis alleges that Cross-Defendants dispute SCWC's contention as set out in the preceding paragraphs.
- 32. SCWC desires a judicial determination as to the amount of Basin water to which SCWC and each of the Cross-Defendants is entitled to extract from the Basin, and the priority and character of each entity's respective right, including SCWC's prescriptive rights.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(For Storage of Imported Water Against All Cross-Defendants)

33. SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 32 of the Cross-Complaint.

HATCH AND PAREN 21 EAST CARRILLO STRE SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-2782

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

15

17

19

22

23

24 25

26

28

9

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

34. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges
that there is available space in the Basin to store imported water
in the Basin. SCWC contends that as a public water supplier, it
holds a paramount right to use the storage space in the Basin for
retention of its water supplies committed to a public use. SCWC
further contends that it holds a paramount right to store, by
direct injection, percolation, in-lieu storage, or capture of
return flows, the imported water it brings into the Basin, and
subsequently use such stored water for reasonable and beneficial
purposes without interference or claim by Cross-Defendants. SCWC
further contends Cross-Defendants have no right or claim to the
imported water SCWC stores in the Basin.

- 35. An actual controversy has arisen between SCWC and Cross-Defendants in that SCWC is informed and believes and upon that basis alleges that Cross-Defendants dispute the contention in the preceding paragraph.
- 36. SCWC desires a judicial declaration that it has a priority right to store imported water by direct injection, percolation, in-lieu storage, or capture of return flows, and subsequently extract for its sole use all such imported water.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(For Basin Management Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 37. SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 36 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 38. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between SCWC and Cross-Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties with regard to their use and entitlement to water from the

HATCH AND PARENT 21 EAST CARRILLO STRE SANTA BARBARA, CA

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

Basin.

39. SCWC contends that the rights of Cross-Defendants to pump water from the Basin, if any, are limited to the native supply of the Basin. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Cross-Defendants claim the right to take water from the Basin in excess of the safe yield of the Basin. SCWC contends that such extractions will diminish the water supply and water quality in the Basin. Unless restrained by order of the Court, Cross-Defendants will continue to take water from the Basin in excess of the safe yield, which will result in irreparable harm to SCWC.

- 40. Because Cross-Defendants' extractions of water from the Basin exceed safe yield as alleged above, the amount, quality and reliability of water available to SCWC has been reduced. Unless the conduct of Cross-Defendants is restrained and enjoined by this Court, the supply, reliability and quality of water in the Basin will continue to diminish. Further depletion of the water in the Basin will cause increased pump lifts, well interference, land subsidence and water quality degradation which will permanently damage and ultimately destroy the water yield and storage capacity within the Basin.
- 41. To prevent irreparable injury to the Basin, it is necessary and appropriate for this Court to determine, impose and retain continuing jurisdiction over a physical solution upon the Cross-Defendants who pump water from the Basin. Such physical solution may include, but not be limited to, a determination of the operating safe yield of the Basin, a determination of the rights of each party to available storage space, an operating plan for the management of the Basin, a declaration of the rights of the parties



SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

named in this Cross-Complaint to use Basin groundwater, and the appointment of a watermaster.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Waste/Unreasonable Method of Use - Against the District)

- 42. Cross-Complainant SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 41 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 43. The Twitchell Project is a joint water conservation and flood control project in the Santa Maria Valley. The Twitchell Project consists of the Twitchell Dam and Reservoir. The Twitchell Project captures water from the Cuyama River and its tributaries.
- 44. The United States Bureau of Reclamation holds a license from the State Water Resources Control Board to store in and divert water from the Twitchell Reservoir for irrigation, domestic, salinity control, municipal, industrial, and recreational uses. Pursuant to the same license, the District holds the right to use water that becomes available through the operation of the Twitchell Project, subject to the prior rights of the Bureau of Reclamation.
- 45. The District is responsible for operating the Twitchell Project. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the District releases water from the Twitchell Reservoir to re-charge groundwater levels in the Basin.
- 46. California Constitution article X, section 2, and California Water Code section 100, provide that all water rights in California are subject to "reasonable and beneficial use."
- 47. SCWC is informed and believes and upon that basis alleges that the District operates the Twitchell Project in an inefficient

HATCH AND PARENT 21 EAST CARRILLO STREE SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-2782

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

16

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2.5

27

28

12

SCWC Cross-Complaint

247

manner, in that the District fails to maximize the recharge to and yield of the Basin. Such inefficient operation of the Twitchell Project by the District results in the waste of water in amounts presently unknown to SCWC and the inefficient use of the available groundwater storage capacity in the Basin.

- 48. An actual controversy has arisen between SCWC and the District in that SCWC is informed and believes that the District disputes the contentions described above.
- 49. SCWC desires a judicial determination as to whether the District's management of the Twitchell Project is in compliance with article X, section 2 of the California Constitution.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Waste/unreasonable Method of Use -

Against All Cross-defendants)

- 50. Cross-Complainant SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 49 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 51. Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution requires that all water in California be put to a reasonable and beneficial use, and prohibits the waste and misuse of those water resources.
- 52. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that some or all of the Cross-Defendants herein who use Basin water for irrigation of agricultural crops fail to employ reasonable and beneficial water use irrigation practices such as accurately quantifying their water use through meters, use of sprinkler or drip irrigation systems, and facilities useful in recapturing and



9

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

13

SCWC Cross-Complaint

248

recirculating tailwater run-off. Failure to use such standard irrigation practices results in the excessive and wasteful use of Basin groundwater and violates article X, section 2 of the California Constitution.

- 53. An actual controversy has arisen between SCWC and Cross-Defendants in that SCWC is informed and believes that Cross-Defendants dispute the above-described allegations.
- 54. SCWC seeks a judicial declaration that Cross-Defendants have no rights to unreasonable use or methods of use that result in the waste of Basin groundwater, and that Cross-Defendants' rights, if any, should be determined on the basis of the quantity of water necessary for their respective reasonable and beneficial uses.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Right to Twitchell Reservoir Yield Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 55. Cross-Complainant SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 54 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 56. SCWC is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the Twitchell Project is funded through property tax assessments paid by the property owners located within the District boundaries. All such property owners within the District boundaries, including those who receive water service from SCWC, are the intended beneficiaries of the enhanced groundwater yield created by operation of the Twitchell Project.
- 57. SCWC further contends that the yield of the Twitchell Project is "developed" water, subject to first-in-time, first-in-

HATCH AND PARENT 1 EAST CARRILLO STREE SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-2782

3

5

8

1.0

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

24

25

27

28

14

SCWC Cross-Complaint

140073.1:6774.59

right appropriation by any user; and property owners overlying the Basin have no prior right to the the yield of the Twitchell Project.

- 58. An actual controversy has arisen between SCWC and Cross-Defendants in that SCWC is informed and believes that Cross-Defendants contend that SCWC or the properties it serves have no right to the Twitchell Project yield and dispute the contention described above.
- 59. SCWC desires a judicial declaration that all property owners within the District boundaries, including those served water by SCWC, have a right to receive the benefits created by operation of the Twitchell Project.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

(Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 60. Cross-Complainant SCWC realleges and incorporates as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 58 of the Cross-Complaint.
- 61. Within the five years preceding the filing of this Cross-Complaint, each of the Cross-Defendants have wrongfully and unlawfully interfered with or threatened to interfere with SCWC's right and entitlement to extract waters from the Basin.
- 62. The wrongful conduct and threatened conduct of Cross-Defendants, unless enjoined and restrained by order of this court, will cause great and irreparable injury and harm to SCWC in that SCWC will suffer a loss of water and/or water rights necessary for providing service to its municipal customers in the Santa Maria Valley, as well as the increased rate of groundwater overdraft,



SCWC Cross-Complaint

increased demands for water, reduced use of existing groundwater storage facilities, and actual reduction of SCWC's water rights.

63. SCWC has no adequate remedy at law for the injuries that are threatened and would be sustained as a proximate result of the actions of Cross-Defendants as alleged herein, as it is impossible to estimate the loss or injury that would result from Cross-Defendants' wrongful conduct.

WHEREFORE, SCWC prays for relief as follows:

- For a declaration that SCWC holds a vested prescriptive 10 | right to extract and use groundwater from the Basin, which right is senior to the rights of Cross-Defendants;
 - For a declaration of SCWC's and each of the Cross-Defendants' rights to use groundwater from the Basin, including the amount of water each is entitled to, and the priority and character of each right;
 - For a declaration of SCWC's priority right to store imported water in the Basin, through direct injection, percolation, in-lieu storage, or capture of return flows, and to recapture for subsequent use imported water in its distribution system;
 - For an order imposing a physical solution for management of Basin water, including: (i) a determination of the operating safe yield of the Basin, (ii) a determination of the rights of each party to use Basin groundwater and storage space in the Basin, (iii) an operating plan for the management of the Basin, (iv) an appointment of a watermaster, and (v) retention of ongoing jurisdiction over this matter;
 - For an order imposing an operating regime on the District's operation of the Twitchell Project which maximizes the

28

2

3

7

8 9

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

23

24 25

27

16

SCWC Cross-Complaint

251

efficiency of the Twitchell Project yield and the Basin;

- 6. For a declaration of SCWC's right, on behalf of the landowners it serves, to rely on a portion of the yield of the Twitchell Project;
- For preliminary and permanent injunctions which prohibit 7. Cross-Defendants from using Basin groundwater in any manner which violates Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution, and which impose upon Cross-Defendants the implementation of best management practices for irrigation within a definite period of time;
- 8. For an order appointing a watermaster to administer the judgment;
- For this Court to maintain continuing jurisdiction over 9 this controversy and the enforcement of the resulting judgment;
- 10. For preliminary and permanent injunctions which prohibit Cross-Defendants from using Basin groundwater in any manner which interferes with the rights of SCWC to take water from or store water in the Basin to meet the reasonable and beneficial present and future needs of its customers;
 - 11. For prejudgment interest as permitted by law;
- 12. For attorney and expert witness fees and costs incurred in this action; and
- 13. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March Z, 1999

HATCH AND PARENT

25 26

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

1.0 11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

27

By. ROBERT J. SAPERSTEIN

Attorneys for Defendant Cross-Complainant SOUTHERN

CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY

17

SCWC Cross-Complaint

252