



**OUR 110TH YEAR, NO.**  
**State**  
**water vote**  
**Tuesday**

By Angela Hastings  
Times Staff Writer

NIPOMO — As the Nipomo Community Services District state water election draws near, both the Nipomo State Water Committee and the opposing Nipomo Citizens for Democracy are making last-ditch efforts to garner support.

The state water committee sent a mailer, to arrive at residences Saturday or today, urging people to get out and vote and listing the possible costs of state water to customers, said CSD President David Manriquez.

And the Nipomo Citizens for Democracy also sent a flier Friday, urging residents to support voting rights and vote 'yes' on Measure A, according to group member Charles Gulyash.

Ironically, the vote slated for Tuesday, was called in part because CSD directors believe a last-minute flyer — sent by state water opponents before a previous vote on state water Nov. 5 — misled the voters and convinced them to reject state water.

While residents constantly have heard that the election Tuesday is about state water, when they read Measure A on the ballot they will see the following: "Shall Ordinance 91.64 be repealed?"

**Continued on Page A-6**

# Nipomo voting on state water item

## Continued from Page A-1

Ordinance 91.64 states that the directors will not be bound to the public's vote on state water. Therefore, if on Tuesday residents vote in favor of repealing Ordinance 91.64, they are saying that directors will be bound to the public vote against state water Nov. 5.

If residents vote against repealing 91.64, they are saying that directors will not be bound to the public vote and should be able to decide themselves whether to participate in the state water project.

A 'yes' vote means residents are voting against state water. If they vote no, they are voting for it.

Polling booths will be open

from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. at the Nipomo Community Services District Office, 158 S. Wilson Ave., and the Lighthouse Christian Center, at 726 W. Tefft St.

While posters touting both sides line Tefft Street, the Nipomo State Water Committee has emerged at the campaign end as by far the greater spender.

The group received more than \$2,300 in campaign funds, most which they had spent by May 14, according to the group's campaign disclosure statement.

Among the names on the State Water Committee's committee and campaign disclosure statements are David Manriquez and Kathleen Fairbanks, the president and director of the Nipomo Community Services District. Ac-

ording to state law, elected officials can support campaigns as individuals, although not in their official capacity.

Unlike the State Water Committee, the Nipomo Citizens for Democracy had received less than \$1,000 in campaign funds by May 14 and therefore had made no campaign disclosure statement.

However, the group expected to receive a contribution that would bring them above the \$1,000 mark, Gulyash said Friday.

Although a greater number of posters advertised the State Water Committee's position, Gulyash said he believed that the voters would realize that simply means the committee has more money — not more support.