Back page

s off the rest of its share — ld take a couple of years. s done, the tax rate would about \$\frac{1}{16}\$-cents and then the \$300 acre-feet are

point, odly those receiving r will gay for it. And it's an Luis Obispo residents nong them.

ecause a referendum has calling for an election on participation in the State ect.

Counsel Jim Lindholm told isors they could reject the act for 3,000 acre-feet or, ssion from the state, they he city deadline by which rm commitment.

son, the state Department esources' coastal branch tager, said the state has enberg Air Force Base a lline because its commitds on the passage of the

he state would be willing me for San Luis Obispo, mitment is clouded by id the city's control.

1 several votes before ersed himself and the 3-2 — with Blakely and iting — to keep San Luis project but give the city o make a firm commit-

SLO State Water foes turn in petitions

Opponents of state water for San Luis Obispo turned in close to 6,300 signatures on a referendum to bring the matter to a public vote, according to City Clerk Pam Voges.

A citizens group, Citizens for Open Government, circulated petitions to put the city's participation in the State Water Project to a public vot after the City Council decided April 22 to sign up for 3,000 acre-feet per year.

The referendum needs only 2,268 valid signatures to qualify.

Voges emphasized that her preliminary "rough count," conducted shortly after the group turned the pertions in May 21, did not check the validity of any signatures, which must be of registered voters who are city residents. In addition, she said, if a person

who was gathering signatures on a petition is not a city resident and registered voter, all the signatures that person gathered would be invalidated.

Voges said it will be awhile before she can get a more accurate count because the County Clerk's Office has asked her to hold off until after the June election before coming in to validate the signatures.

While Voges wouldn't rate the referendum's chances, she did say the

group submitted "an unusually high number of signatures compared to what is usually turned in."

If the referendum has the required signatures, Voges said, she will certify it as valid and send a report to the council. She said she hopes to have that done in time for a meeting tentatively set for June 30.

The council must then decide no later than July 7 whether to put the measure on the November ballot or to repeal its state water decision.

d he's concerned that im will be challenged ose "legal maneuverrcumvent the will of the