PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3168 Administrative Law Judge Division March 2, 2006

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3168. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

"'Adjudicatory' proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

"'Ratesetting' proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). 'Ratesetting' proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

"'Quasi-legislative' proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry." (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960's defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

ALJ/hl2

ALJ/hl2

Next Steps

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

ALJ/hl2

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on March 2, 2006, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ STEVE LARSON

STEVE LARSON Executive Director

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY President GEOFFREY F. BROWN DIAN M. GRUENEICH JOHN A. BOHN RACHELLE B. CHONG Commissioners

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3168 (3/2/06)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A06-02-014 SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY (U 168 W), for authority to increase rates charged for Water Service by \$14,646,000 or 8.54% in 2007; by \$5,196,000 or 2.78% in 2008; and by \$6,246,000 or 3.26% in 2009. (TEND 1505)	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A06-02-016 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 E), for Review of Entries to the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) and Compliance Review of Electric Contract Administration, Economic Dispatch of Electric Resources, and Utility Retained Generation Fuel Procurement Activities for the record period of January 1 through December 31, 2005.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A06-02-017 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E), for authorization: (1) To obtain Long-Term Debt Capital not to exceed the equivalent of U.S.\$800,000,000; (2) To guarantee the obligations of others with respect to the issuance of certain Tax-Exempt Securities; (3) To include certain features in Debt Securities or enter into certain Derivative Transactions to lower cost of money; (4) To obtain an exemption from the Competitive Bidding Rule; (5) To enter into Interest Rate Swaps, Caps, Collars and/or Currency Exchange Contracts; (6) To issue and sell not more than U.S.\$200,000,000 Par or Stated Value of Preferred or Preference Stock; and (7) Take all other necessary, related actions.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-018 TTUSA ACQUISITION, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-020 LOGICAL TELECOM, LP, for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-021 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, for Authority to remove and reconstruct an overhead grade separation of Fifth Street, CPUC Crossing No.002-80.75-A, DOT No.026114M, within the City and County of San Bernardino, over the tracks owned by the BNSF Railway known as Cajon Subdivision.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3168 (3/2/06)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A06-02-022 MYATEL CORPORATION, for registration as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation, pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-023 GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY (U 133 W), for authorization to increase rates for water service in its Region II service area by \$14,926,200 or 15.77% in 2007; by \$4,746,000 or 4.31% in 2008; and by \$6,909,300 or 6.02% in 2009 (TEND 1605).	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A06-02-024 CITY OF ELK GROVE, for authority to construct Grant Line Road Overcrossing over the tracks and right-of-way of the Union Pacific Railroad in the City of Elk Grove, County of Sacramento, State of California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-025 CHOICE ONE COMMUNICATIONS INC., CTC COMMUNICATIONS CORP., dba CT COMMUNICATIONS CORP. (U 5532 C), CTC COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC., for approval of a Transfer of Control of CT Communications Corp.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A06-02-026 GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY (U 133 W), for an order pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 851 approving a Settlement in a Water Rights Adjudication, and for an order pursuant to Section 454 approving the proposed Ratemaking Treatment of the costs of the Adjudication and Settlement.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES